Drafting Team (DT) recommendations applied to table by staff, listing potential changes to Bylaws and GNSO Operating Procedures

This table is color-coded to match 3 categories of decisions analyzed by the Drafting Team (DT):

Nominations for GNSO representatives on Empowered Community, Customer Service Committee, IANA Functions Review Team, and other review teams in new Bylaws

The consensus recommendation is that a majority of each house is the apprpritate threshold for nominations to roles created in the new Bylaws.

Decisions made by GNSO to initiate or respond to Empowered Community petitions, instruct the GNSO Empowered Community Representative, and initiate investigations (per Bylaws Sections 22.8)

The consensus recommendation is that a majority of each house is the appropriate threshold to make most EC decisions, and that a GNSO Supermajority is the appropriate threshold for certain decisions, as indicated below.

Decisions made by GNSO on its own. e.g., request document inspection (Bylaws 22.7(a) and (e))

The DT unanimously recommends that any GNSO Stakeholder Group or Constituency be empowered to request ICANN document inspection per Bylaws 22.7(a) and (e). This request would be automatically communicated by the GNSO's Decisional Participant representative, and would not require action by GNSO Council.

In addition, the DT has a consensus recommendation to empower GNSO Council to request ICANN document inspection.

In addition, the DT has a consensus recommendation to empower GNSO Council to request ICANN document inspection per Bylaws 22.7(a) and (e), with approval by 1/4 of each House or majority of one House.

Three DT members (IPC, ISPCP, and BC) do not support Council exercising any of the new powers by voting within the present House-bound structure. The recommendation that Council would speak for GNSO therefore has "Strong support but significant opposition". Nonetheless, all DT members contributed to "Consensus" recommendations for voting thresholds on the assumption that GNSO Council would approve nominations and actions created under the new ICANN Bylaws.

Note: the table below includes excerpts from the new ICANN bylaws, at https://www.icann.org/resources/pages/governance/bylaws-en

New Bylaw Section	New Obligation/Right for the GNSO	Any New Procedure Required?	DT Recommendation
ARTICLE 4 ACCOUNTABILITY AND REVIEW 4.2 & 4.3 RECONSIDERATION REQUESTS; (IRP) FOR COVERED ICANN ACTIONS  4.2(b) EC may file a Reconsideration Request if approved pursuant to Section 4.3 of Annex D ("Community Reconsideration Request") and if the matter relates to the exercise of the powers and rights of the EC of these Bylaws.	NEW: EC can file Reconsideration Request; SO/ACs expressly acknowledged as a possible Claimant for IRP (which may now be filed to cover enforcement of IANA contract and PTI service complaints); SO/ACs to be consulted as part of process for establishing Standing Panel (in coordination with the IRP Implementation Oversight Team); SO/ACs can nominate Standing Panel members from the list of qualified candidates.	The GNSO would need to determine the circumstances and mechanisms by which it will support a Reconsideration Request by the EC.  GNSO would need to agree on the voting threshold that would apply for each of the steps/items involved in an IRP (including relief).  As the Implementation Oversight Team (IOT) seems to be a one-time appointment, a new process will likely not be needed. It is noted that the IOT is already formed and working.	GNSO rep on the EC will act in accord with instructions approved by majority of each house.
4.3(b) A "Claimant" is any legal or natural person, group, or entity including, but not limited to the EC, a Supporting Organization, or an Advisory Committee that has been materially affected by a Dispute. To be materially affected by a Dispute, the Claimant must suffer an injury or harm that is directly and causally connected to the alleged violation.		GNSO needs a process to decide to be an IRP ClaimantHow a decision to initiate an IRP is reached - not just the threshold, but what it coversWho would represent them?Who would pay for representation? How would a claim that is supported by the GNSO be put forward?	For GNSO on its own to initiate a claim would require a majority of each house.

New Bylaw Section	New Obligation/Right for the GNSO	Any New Procedure Required?	DT Recommendation
<ul> <li>4.3(j) ICANN shall, in consultation with SO/ACs, initiate a four-step process to establish the [IRP] Standing Panel [SO/ACs] shall nominate a slate of proposed panel members from the well-qualified candidates identified per the process set forth in Section 4.3(j)(ii)(B).</li> <li>4.3(k) The Claimant and ICANN shall each select one panelist from the Standing Panel, and the two panelists selected by the parties will select the third panelist from the Standing Panel.</li> <li>4.3(n) An IRP Implementation Oversight Team shall be established in consultation with SO/ACs and comprised of members of the global Internet community to develop clear, published rules for the IRP.</li> </ul>		For the standing panel, the questions are:What are the thresholds to agree?Would it make sense to rely more on the SGs/Cs?	Decisions to approve the nominated panelist shall be presented to Council for approval by a majority of each House
4.6 SPECIFIC REVIEWS  (a) Review teams will be established for each applicable review, which will include both a limited number of members and an open number of observers. The chairs of SO/ACs shall select a group of up to 21 review team members from among the prospective members nominated by SO/ACs, balanced for diversity and skill:  (A)Each SSO/AC may nominate up to seven prospective members for the review team; (B)Any SO/AC nominating one, two or three prospective review team members shall be entitled to have those one, two or three nominees selected as members to the review team  (C)If any SO/AC has not nominated at least 3 review team members, the Chairs of the SO/ACs shall determine whether all 21 SO/AC member seats shall be filled and, if so, how seats should be allocated from among those nominated.	NEW: Specific provision for appointment of review team members (these would be for the reviews mandated by the current AoC, which are being enshrined in the new Bylaws)  Note that for the RDS review, ICANN must specifically work with SOACs to "explore structural changes to improve accuracy and access to generic top-level domain registration data, as well as consider safeguards for protecting such data."	The GNSO will need to agree on a process for nominating and selecting these review team members.  Issues the GNSO may wish to discuss include: (1) how will the GNSO (or its subparts) identify the up-to-seven nominees for any of the review teams; and (2) how should the GNSO Chair participate in the selection of the review team?  The current practice (e.g. as was used for ATRT and CCT) could be used and/or modified to serve as a general procedure for nominating and selecting review team members. See the ATRT 2 at <a href="https://www.icann.org/resources/reviews/aoc/atrt">https://www.icann.org/resources/reviews/aoc/atrt</a> and	Each GNSO Constituency/Stakeholder Group may nominate 1 candidate. (7 candidates for GNSO)  Decisions to approve the 21 total review team members shall be presented to Council for approval by a majority of each House.

New Bylaw Section	New Obligation/Right for the GNSO	Any New Procedure Required?	DT Recommendation
		the CCT Review Team at <a href="https://community.icann.org/x/C4RIAw">https://community.icann.org/x/C4RIAw</a> .	

New Bylaw Section	New Obligation/Right for the GNSO	Any New Procedure Required?	DT Recommendation
4.7 COMMUNITY MEDIATION  (a) If the Board refuses or fails to comply with a duly authorized and valid EC Decision (as defined in Section 4.1(a) of Annex D) under these Bylaws, the EC Administration representative of any Decisional Participant who supported the exercise by the EC of its rights in the applicable EC Decision during the applicable decision period may request that the EC initiate a mediation process pursuant to this Section 4.7.	NEW: Procedure to request that the EC initiate a mediation process; ability to recommend individuals to represent the EC in the Mediation Administration.	GNSO Council will request that the EC initiate Mediation, and recommend EC representatives for the Mediation Administration. How this procedure is defined may need to be done in consultation with the other Decisional Participants. No current process specifically addresses this. However, it is envisioned that the GNSO Council will make the request on behalf of the GNSO, with Councilors consulting with their respective SGs/Cs according to current practice.	Majority of each House
(b) If the EC Administration delivers a Mediation Initiation Notice (as defined in Section 4.1(a) of Annex D) to the Secretary pursuant to and in compliance with Section 4.1(a) of Annex D, as soon as reasonably practicable thereafter, the EC Administration shall designate individuals to represent the EC in the mediation. Members of the EC can designate themselves as representatives.		One topic for consideration is what principles the GNSO will use to guide how it identifies its representatives to the Mediation Administration.	Majority of each House

New Bylaw Section	New Obligation/Right for the GNSO	Any New Procedure Required?	DT Recommendation
ARTICLE 6 EMPOWERED COMMUNITY SECTION 6.1 COMPOSITION AND ORGANIZATION OF THE EMPOWERED COMMUNITY  (a) The Empowered Community ("EC") shall be a nonprofit association formed under the laws of the State of California consisting of the ASO, the ccNSO, the GNSO, the ALAC and the GAC	NEW: Procedures relating to Decisional Participants and decision making	One point of discussion could be whether the GNSO would act through the GNSO Council if no other mechanism was determined or desired.  Also, see comment above with respect to consultation with other Decisional Participants and the weighting of decision to determine thresholds. The GNSO Council Chair, or designee, would then be the GNSO representative in the EC Administration. The GNSO may consider principles to guide a designation.  for provisions relating to GNSO Decisional Participation, GNSO might consider different processes /voting weightages/principles	Majority of each House to approve GNSO representative on EC

New Bylaw Section	New Obligation/Right for the GNSO	Any New Procedure Required?	DT Recommendation
SECTION 6.1 COMPOSITION AND	NEW: Procedures for exercising the rights of a	Clarity may be needed as to	GNSO Council speaks for
ORGANIZATION OF EMPOWERED	Decisional Participant as described in (i)-(vi)	whether the GNSO acts through	GNSO, based on approval by
COMMUNITY		the GNSO Council if no other	majority of each house.
(g) Each Decisional Participant shall, except as		mechanism is deemed to be	
otherwise provided in Annex D, adopt		needed.	
procedures for exercising the rights of such		Also, see comment above with	
Decisional Participant pursuant to the		respect to consultation with other	
procedures set forth in Annex D, including (i)		Decisional Participants and the	
who can submit a petition to such Decisional		weighting of decision to determine	
Participant, (iii) how the Decisional		thresholds.	
Participant determines whether to accept or			
reject a petition, (iv) how Decisional Participant		See additional notes on Annex D	

New Bylaw Section	New Obligation/Right for the GNSO	Any New Procedure Required?	DT Recommendation
determines whether an issue has been		(forthcoming).	
resolved, (v) how the Decisional Participant			
determines whether to support or object to			
actions supported by another Decisional			
Participant, and (vi) the process for the			
Decisional Participant to notify its constituents			
of relevant matters.			

New Bylaw Section	New Obligation/Right for the GNSO	Any New Procedure Required?	DT Recommendation
SECTION 6.2 EC POWERS	NEW: Various rights and powers for	SCWG is Separation Cross-	GNSO Council speaks for
6.2(a) & (b) EC will have various powers and rights,	the EC (as described in (a) & (b))	Community Working Group	GNSO, based on approval by
including appointing and removing individual Directors;			majority of each house.
recall the entire Board; reject ICANN Budgets, IANA		See notes above regarding the	
Budgets, Operating Plans and Strategic Plans; reject		possibility that the GNSO will	GNSO rep on the EC will act
Standard Bylaws Amendments; approve Fundamental		exercise its rights and powers via	in accord with instructions
Bylaw Amendments, Articles Amendments and Asset		the GNSO Council.	approved by majority of each
Sales; reject PTI Governance Actions; require the ICANN			house.
Board to re-review its rejection of IFR Recommendation			
Decisions, Special IFR Recommendation Decisions, SCWG			
Creation Decisions; initiate a Community Reconsideration			
Request, mediation or a Community IRP; and take			
necessary and appropriate action to enforce powers and			
rights, including through the community mechanism in			
Annex D or an action filed in a court of competent			
jurisdiction. EC may also pursue an action in any court			
with jurisdiction over ICANN to enforce EC's rights under			
Bylaws.			

New Bylaw Section	New Obligation/Right for the GNSO	Any New Procedure Required?	DT Recommendation
SECTION 6.3 EC ADMINISTRATION	NEW: Procedures for Decisional	The GNSO needs to agree on how	GNSO Rep to EC is approved
(a) The Decisional Participants shall act through their	Participants as described in (a)-(d)	such "other persons"	by majority of each house.
respective chairs or such other persons as may be		would/could be designated and	
designated by the Decisional Participants (collectively,		by whom.	If GNSO does not reach this
such persons are the "EC Administration"). Each			threshold to designate its EC
Decisional Participant shall deliver annually a written		Discuss advisability of designating	Rep, Bylaws say that GNSO
certification designating the individual who shall		GNSO Chair as EC representative,	Chair is default EC Rep.
represent Decisional Participant on the EC.		possibly with option to appoint a	
(b) In representing a Decisional Participant on the EC,		temporary alternate (see above).	GNSO rep on the EC will act
the representative individual shall act solely as directed			in accord with instructions
by the represented Decisional Participant and in			approved by majority of each
accordance with processes developed by such Decisional			house.
Participant in accordance with Section 6.1(g).			

New Bylaw Section	New Obligation/Right for the GNSO	Any New Procedure Required?	DT Recommendation
ARTICLE 7 BOARD OF DIRECTORS	NEW: Appointment of Directors via	The GNSO will need to discuss	Names of interim director(s)
SECTION 7.12 designating INTERIM DIRECTORS to fill	the EC	the process and criteria for	must be approved by
vacancies created by EC recall		selection of Directors, including	majority of each house
		Interim Directors.	
7.12(a) A vacancy occurring in Seats 1 through 15 shall			GNSO selection of it's
be filled by the EC after nomination as provided in			replacement director(s)
Section 7.2 and Articles 8 - 12.			should follow current
			procedures.
7.12(b) [Concerning vacancies when entire Board is			
recalled] Concurrently with delivery of any EC Board			
Recall Notice, the EC shall provide notice of the EC's			
designation of individuals to fill such vacancies. An			
Interim Director shall hold office until the EC designates			
the Interim Director's successor			

# ARTICLE 11 GENERIC NAMES SUPPORTING ORGANIZATION SECTION 11.3 GNSO COUNCIL

New Bylaw Section	New Obligation/Right for the GNSO	Any New Procedure Required?	DT Recommendation
-------------------	-----------------------------------	-----------------------------	-------------------

Bylaws Drafting Team – ICANN Bylaws & GNSO Pi	rocedures Mapping, 12-Oct-2016		
New Bylaw Section	New Obligation/Right for the GNSO	Any New Procedure Required?	DT Recommendation
SECTION 11.3 GNSO COUNCIL  (i) Except as otherwise specified in these Bylaws, Annex A, or GNSO Operating Procedures, the default threshold to pass a GNSO Council motion or other voting action requires a simple majority vote of each House. The voting thresholds described below shall apply to the following GNSO actions:	NEW: Supermajority votes per GNSO role as Decisional Participant for these actions:  Section 17.3: Amendments to CSC Charter by "simple majority of GNSO Council"  Section 18.3: Approval of a delay in periodic IFR by supermajority  Section 18.6: IFR Recommendations approved by supermajority  Section 18.12: Special IFR and Recommendations approved by supermajority  Section 19.1: Establish SCWG and approve recommendations by GNSO supermajority	These will need to be fully documented in and where necessary revised or added to the GNSO Operating Procedures.  This can be done by amending the voting thresholds table currently in the GNSO Operating Procedures.  NOTE: Where GNSO Supermajority is used, it is specifically intended to refer to the defined use of a supermajority threshold for the GNSO Council.	This is the exiting Bylaws section describing GNSO voting thresholds.  This section can be amended to add new thresholds required in bylaws or recommended by DT and approved by GNSO Council.  The DT notes that Sec 17.3 requires "simple majority of GNSO Council", and suggest that GNSO Operating Procedures define that as a majority of Council, or a majority of each house.
ARTICLE 16 POST TRANSITION IANA ENTITY			
New Bylaw Section	New Obligation/Right for the GNSO	Any New Procedure Required?	DT Recommendation
SECTION 16.2 PTI GOVERNANCE	NEW: EC approval of changes to PTI	Clarity may be needed as to	GNSO rep on the EC will act
No amendment or modification of the articles of	articles of incorporation.	whether the GNSO acts through	in accord with instructions
incorporation of PTI shall be effective unless approved		the GNSO Council	approved by GNSO
by the EC			Supermajority for approval

New Bylaw Section	New Obligation/Right for the GNSO	Any New Procedure Required?	DT Recommendation
SECTION 16.2 PTI GOVERNANCE	NEW: EC approval of changes to PTI	Clarity may be needed as to	GNSO rep on the EC will act
No amendment or modification of the articles of	articles of incorporation.	whether the GNSO acts through	in accord with instructions
incorporation of PTI shall be effective unless approved		the GNSO Council	approved by GNSO
by the EC			Supermajority for approval
			of PTI Amendments
	·	·	

New Bylaw Section	New Obligation/Right for the GNSO	Any New Procedure Required?	DT Recommendation
SECTION 16.3 IANA NAMING FUNCTIONS CONTRACT	NEW: Majority of GNSO Council	Clarity may be needed as to	GNSO rep on the EC will act
ICANN shall enter into contract with PTI for performance	rejects the proposed modification,	whether the GNSO acts through	in accord with instructions
of IANA naming functions ICANN shall not agree to	amendment, or waiver.	the GNSO Council if no other	approved by majority of each
modify, amend or waive any Material Terms of the IANA		mechanism is deemed to be	house.
Naming Function Contract if a majority of each of the		needed.	
ccNSO and GNSO Councils reject the proposed			
modification, amendment or waiver.		clarify whether majority means	
		simple majority of each house.	

New Bylaw Section	New Obligation/Right for the GNSO	Any New Procedure Required?	DT Recommendation

SECTION 17.1 CSC	NEW: Consultation and escalation	The GNSO should discuss	GNSO Council speaks for
The CSC is not authorized to initiate a change in PTI	processes and Special IFR	whether or not its current	GNSO, and a majority of each
through a Special IFR, but may escalate a failure to		procedures are adequate to	house is required to instruct
correct an identified deficiency to the ccNSO and GNSO,		cover this situation.	the GNSO Rep on the EC.
which might then decide to take further action using			
consultation and escalation processes, which may			
include a Special IFR. The ccNSO and GNSO may			
address matters escalated by the CSC, pursuant to their			
operating rules and procedures.			
consultation and escalation processes, which may include a Special IFR. The ccNSO and GNSO may address matters escalated by the CSC, pursuant to their			

New Bylaw Section	New Obligation/Right for the GNSO	Any New Procedure Required?	DT Recommendation
SECTION 17.2 CSC COMPOSITION, APPOINTMENT,	NEW:	Yes, this will require procedures	On its own, the Registry
TERM AND REMOVAL	(b) Appointment of individual	for appointing a member by the	Stakeholder Group names 2
(b) If so determined by the ccNSO and GNSO, the CSC	representing top-level domain	Registry Stakeholder Group and a	CSC members. No
may, but is not required to, include one additional	registry operator not ccTLD or gTLD.	liaison by the GNSO.	involvement of Council.
member: an individual representing top-level domain	(c) Appointment of liaison to the CSC.		
registry operators that are not considered a ccTLD or	(d) Approval of initial proposed	If one or more letters of support	The Registrars Stakeholder
gTLD, who shall be appointed by the ccNSO and the	members and liaisons of the CSC and	are provided for a non ccTLD or	Group <u>or</u> the NCPH may
GNSO.	annual slate of members and liaisons.	gTLD representative to join as a	name 1 CSC member.
(c) Each of the following organizations may also appoint		member, a procedure will need	Decisions to approve the
one liaison to the CSC in accordance with the rules and		to be developed to identify how	nominated GNSO liaison
procedures of the appointing organization: (i) GNSO		the GNSO will (i) internally and	shall be presented to Council
(from the Registrars Stakeholder Group or the Non-		(ii) externally [with the ccNSO]	for approval by a majority of
Contracted Parties House)		determine the additional	each House.
(d) The GNSO and ccNSO shall approve the initial		member.	
proposed members and liaisons of the CSC, and			The nominated slate of CSC
thereafter, the ccNSO and GNSO shall approve each		In addition, the GNSO and ccNSO	members shall be presented
annual slate of members and liaisons recommended for		must jointly approve the full	to Council for approval by a
a new term.		membership of the CSC.	majority of each House
		This is currently covered by	
		provisional procedures	
		developed to address the GNSO's	
		obligations relating to the CSC.	
		These procedures will need to be	
		formally approved as part of the	
		GNSO Operating Procedures.	
		GENERAL NOTE: Individual SGs	
		and Cs should also review their	
		and Cs should also review their	

New Bylaw Section	New Obligation/Right for the GNSO	Any New Procedure Required?	DT Recommendation
		internal procedures to ensure that they are able to make all the necessary appointments enabled by the revised Bylaws.	
New Bylaw Section	New Obligation/Right for the GNSO	Any New Procedure Required?	DT Recommendation
SECTION 17.3 CSC CHARTER; PERIODIC REVIEW (b) The	NEW: GNSO and ccNSO define method	Possible new procedures,	GNSO Council may approve
effectiveness of the CSC shall be reviewed two years	of review of the CSC charter.	although current procedures for	effectiveness review

New Bylaw Section	New Obligation/Right for the GNSO	Any New Procedure Required?	DT Recommendation
SECTION 17.3 CSC CHARTER; PERIODIC REVIEW (b) The	NEW: GNSO and ccNSO define method	Possible new procedures,	GNSO Council may approve
effectiveness of the CSC shall be reviewed two years	of review of the CSC charter.	although current procedures for	effectiveness review
after the first meeting of the CSC; and then every		GNSO Council approval via a vote	resolution by majority of
three years thereafter. The method of review will be		on a motion may apply.	each house.
determined by the ccNSO and GNSO		Discuss if current procedures for	
		GNSO Council approval may	
		apply	
(c) The CSC Charter shall be reviewed by a committee	NEW: GNSO is one of the bodies that is	Possible new procedures,	GNSO Council may approve
of representatives from the ccNSO and the Registries	to request the formation of committee	although current procedures for	amendments by majority of
Stakeholder Group selected by such organizations.	comprised of ccNSO and RySG	GNSO Council approval via a vote	each house.
This review shall commence one year after the first	representatives, to review CSC Charter.	on a motion may apply.	
meeting of the CSC. Thereafter, the CSC Charter shall			
be reviewed by such committee of representatives		Discuss if current procedures for	
from the ccNSO and the Registries Stakeholder Group		GNSO Council approval may	
selected by such organizations at the request of the		apply.	
CSC, ccNSO, GNSO, the Board and/or the PTI Board			
and/or by an IFRT in connection with an IFR.			
(d) Amendments to the CSC Charter shall not be	NEW: Amendments to the CSC Charter	Possible new procedures,	The DT notes that Sec 17.3
effective unless ratified by the vote of a simple	by a vote of simple majority of the	although current procedures for	requires "simple majority of
majority of each of the ccNSO and GNSO Councils	GNSO Council.	GNSO Council approval via a vote	GNSO Council", and
pursuant to each such organizations' procedures. Prior		on a motion may apply.	suggests that GNSO
to any action by the ccNSO and GNSO, any		Discuss if current procedures for	Operating Procedures define
recommended changes to the CSC Charter shall be		GNSO Council approval may	that as a majority of Council,
subject to a public comment period		apply.	or a majority of each house.

New Bylaw Section	New Obligation/Right for the GNSO	Any New Procedure Required?	DT Recommendation
ARTICLE 18 IANA NAMING FUNCTION REVIEWS	NEW: Delay of convening IFR subject to	Only the administrative change	No recommended action,
SECTION 18.2 FREQUENCY OF PERIODIC IFRS	GNSO Supermajority vote.	required to update the voting	noting that GNSO
(c) In the event a Special IFR is ongoing at the time a		thresholds in the GNSO	Supermajority is defined in
Periodic IFR is required to be convened under this		Operating Procedures.	Bylaws:

New Bylaw Section	New Obligation/Right for the GNSO	Any New Procedure Required?	DT Recommendation
Section 18.2, the Board shall cause the convening of			" (A) two-thirds (2/3) of the
the Periodic IFR to be delayed if such delay is		Update GNSO Council voting	Council members of each
approved by the vote of (i) a supermajority of the		thresholds table in the GNSO	House, or (B) three-fourths
ccNSO Council and (ii) a GNSO Supermajority.		Operating Procedures.	(3/4) of the Council members
			of one House and a majority
			of the Council members of
			the other House."

New Bylaw Section	New Obligation/Right for the GNSO	Any New Procedure Required?	DT Recommendation
SECTION 18.6 RECOMMENDATION TO AMEND THE	NEW: Approve IFR Recommendation	For approval, only the	No recommended action,
IANA NAMING FUNCTION CONTRACT, IANA NAMING	by a GNSO Supermajority; EC	administrative change required	noting that GNSO
FUNCTION SOW OR CSC CHARTER	Administration can direct Board to	to update the voting threshold in	Supermajority is defined in
	convene rejection action community	the GNSO Operating Procedures.	Bylaws:
(b) (i) The IFR Recommendation becomes effective if it	forum.	May need to clarify if and how	" (A) two-thirds (2/3) of the
has been approved by the vote of and (B) a GNSO		the GNSO Council may instruct	Council members of each
Supermajority.		the EC to request the Board to	House, or (B) three-fourths
		convene the community forum.	(3/4) of the Council members
		Update GNSO Council voting	of one House and a majority
		thresholds table in the GNSO	of the Council members of
		Operating Procedures.	the other House."

New Bylaw Section	New Obligation/Right for the GNSO	Any New Procedure Required?	DT Recommendation
SECTION 18.7 COMPOSITION OF IFR REVIEW TEAMS	NEW: IFRTs and their appointments	The GNSO SGs will collectively	Each GNSO Stakeholder
Each GNSO SG can appoint one member (except that		need to agree on a uniform	Group designates its own
the RySG may appoint two). One of the two IFRT co-		process for the nomination and	review team members: 2
chairs is to be appointed "by the GNSO" from among		appointment process of a IFRT	from RySG; 1 from RrSG; 1
the members appointed by the different stakeholder		co-chair.	from CSG; 1 from NCSG.
groups or constituencies in the GNSO. There is also the		Each listed constituency or	
possibility of "other participants" that cannot vote.		stakeholder group of the GNSO	GNSO Council approves the
		will also have to identify the	GNSO co-chair on IFRT from
		process through which it will	among the 6 GNSO reps, by
		make its appointment.	majority of each house.

_				
	New Bylaw Section	New Obligation/Right for the GNSO	Any New Procedure Required?	DT Recommendation

New Bylaw Section	New Obligation/Right for the GNSO	Any New Procedure Required?	DT Recommendation
SECTION 18.12 SPECIAL IFRS	NEW: (a) (i) Review of the outcome of	Possible new procedure,	Required review by GNSO
(a) A Special IFR may be initiated outside of the cycle	the Remedial Action Procedures of the	although it may be that existing	shall be determined by
for the Periodic IFRs to address any deficiency,	CSC.	procedures and/or the GIP could	majority of each house.
problem or other issue that has adversely affected	(ii) Review of the IANA Problem	be applied. This will require	
PTI's performance under the IANA Naming Function	Resolution Process.	discussion.	
Contract and IANA Naming Function SOW [under] the	(iii) Consultation with other SOs and		
following conditions:	ACs.	The GNSO should discuss	GNSO Supermajority, as
(i) The Remedial Action Procedures of the CSC set	(iv) Comment period requested by	whether this is within the current	defined in Bylaws:
forth in the IANA Naming Function Contract shall have	GNSO and Special IFR approval by	remit and procedures of the	" (A) two-thirds (2/3) of the
been followed and failed to correct the PTI	GNSO Supermajority.	Council. The new GIP could	Council members of each
Performance Issue and the outcome of such		potentially be	House, or (B) three-fourths
procedures shall have been reviewed by the ccNSO		extended/amended to apply to	(3/4) of the Council members
and GNSO according to each organization's respective		certain aspects of this process,	of one House and a majority
operating procedures;		e.g. responding to another SO/AC	of the Council members of
(ii) The IANA Problem Resolution Process set forth in		request to initiate a Special IFR.	the other House."
the IANA Naming Function Contract shall have been			
followed and failed to correct the PTI Performance			
Issue and the outcome of such process shall have been			
reviewed by the ccNSO and GNSO according to each			
organization's respective operating procedures;			
(iii) The ccNSO and GNSO shall have considered the			
outcomes of the processes set forth in the preceding			
clauses (i) and (ii) and shall have conducted			
meaningful consultation with the other SO/ACs with			
respect to the PTI Performance Issue and whether or			
not to initiate a Special IFR; and			
(iv) After a public comment period if a public			
comment period is requested by the ccNSO and the			
GNSO, a Special IFR shall have been approved by the			
vote of (A) a <b>supermajority</b> of the ccNSO Council and			
(B) a GNSO Supermajority.			
().			
(c) A recommendation of an IFRT for a Special IFR shall			
only become effective if, with respect to each such			
recommendation, each of the following occurs:			
(i) The Special IFR Recommendation has been			
approved by the vote of (A) a supermajority of the			
ccNSO Council and (B) a GNSO Supermajority.			

New Bylaw Section	New Obligation/Right for the GNSO	Any New Procedure Required?	DT Recommendation
ARTICLE 19 IANA NAMING FUNCTION SEPARATION	NEW: Approval of SCWG by a GNSO	Only the administrative change	No recommended action,
PROCESS	Supermajority.	required to update the voting	noting that GNSO
SECTION 19.1 ESTABLISHING AN SCWG		thresholds in the GNSO	Supermajority is defined in
(b) The Board shall establish an SCWG if each of the		Operating Procedures.	Bylaws:
following occurs:			" (A) two-thirds (2/3) of the
(ii) The SCWG Creation Recommendation has been		GNSO Council voting thresholds	Council members of each
approved by the vote of (A) a supermajority of the		table in the GNSO Operating	House, or (B) three-fourths
ccNSO Council and (B) a GNSO Supermajority;		Procedures.	(3/4) of the Council members
			of one House and a majority
			of the Council members of
			the other House."

New Bylaw Section	New Obligation/Right for the GNSO	Any New Procedure Required?	DT Recommendation
SECTION 19.4 SCWG RECOMMENDATIONS (b) ICANN	NEW: Approval of SCWG	Only the administrative change	No recommended action,
shall not implement an SCWG recommendation	recommendation by GNSO	required to update the voting	noting that GNSO
(including an SCWG recommendation to issue an IANA	Supermajority.	thresholds in the GNSO	Supermajority is defined in
Naming Function RFP) unless, with respect to each		Operating Procedures. May need	Bylaws:
such recommendation (each, an "SCWG	Note: the recommendation to create a	to clarify if and how the GNSO	" (A) two-thirds (2/3) of the
Recommendation"), each of the following occurs:	SCWG is anticipated to come out of a	Council may instruct the EC to	Council members of each
(i) The SCWG Recommendation has been approved by	Special or Periodic IFR (see above).	request the Board to convene the	House, or (B) three-fourths
the vote of (A) a supermajority of the ccNSO and (B) a		community forum.	(3/4) of the Council members
GNSO Supermajority.			of one House and a majority
		GNSO Council voting thresholds	of the Council members of
		table in the GNSO Operating	the other House."
		Procedures.	

New Bylaw Section	New Obligation/Right for the GNSO	Any New Procedure Required?	DT Recommendation
SECTION 19.6 ELECTION OF CO-CHAIRS AND LIAISONS	NEW: Appointment of Co-Chair of the	The GNSO will need to agree on	Each GNSO Stakeholder
(a) The SCWG shall be led by two co-chairs: one	SCWG from the GNSO.	the identification of a co-chair	Group designates its own
appointed by the GNSO from one of the members		from among the appointees	review team members: 3
appointed pursuant to clauses (iii)-(vi) of Section		selected from across the GNSO	from RySG; 1 from RrSG; 1
19.5(a) and one appointed by the ccNSO from one of		community.	from CSG; 1 from NCSG.
the members appointed pursuant to clauses (i)-(ii) of			
<u>Section 19.5(a)</u> .		Each listed constituency or	GNSO Council approves the
		stakeholder group of the GNSO	GNSO co-chair on SCWG
		will also have to identify the	from among the 6 GNSO
		process through which it will	reps, by majority of each
		make its appointment. The	house.
		collective of those Cs/SGs need	
		to identify how they will agree	
		upon one of those appointees as	
		the recommended co-chair.	
		See comments under IFRT	
		(above).	

New Bylaw Section	New Obligation/Right for the GNSO	Any New Procedure Required?	DT Recommendation
SECTION 22.7 INSPECTION	NEW: GNSO (as decisional participant)	There is nothing to prevent the	Any GNSO Stakeholder
(a) A Decisional Participant may request to inspect the	requesting an inspection.	GNSO Council from initiating, or a	Group or Constituency may
accounting books and records of ICANN, as		SG/C to request that the Council	request ICANN document
interpreted pursuant to the provisions of Section 6333		initiate, an inspection request.	inspection. This request
of the CCC, and the minutes of the Board or any Board		However, given the significance	would be automatically
Committee for a purpose reasonably related to such		of this new ability, it may be	communicated by the
Inspecting Decisional Participant's interest as a		preferable to develop and	GNSO's Decisional
Decisional Participant in the EC.		document specific mechanisms	Participant representative,
		and/or agree on the voting	and would not require action
		threshold that would apply to	by GNSO Council.
		requesting an inspection should it	
		be different from a simple	In addition, GNSO Council
		majority vote of the Council.	may request ICANN
			document inspection, with
			approval by 1/4 of each
			House or majority of one
			House.

New Bylaw Section	New Obligation/Right for the GNSO	Any New Procedure Required?	DT Recommendation
SECTION 22.7 INSPECTION	NEW: Ability to seek appeals and	The GNSO Council should discuss	The requesting Constituency
(e) If the Inspecting Decisional Participant believes	initiate a Reconsideration Request.	whether new procedures are	or SG may decide whether to
that ICANN has violated the provisions of this <u>Section</u>		necessary to decide whether to	seek the remedy.
22.7, the Inspecting Decisional Participant may seek		seek an appeal or initiate a	
one or more of the following remedies: (i) appeal		Reconsideration request, or	If Council was the requesting
such matter to the Ombudsman and/or the Board for		whether current procedures	entity, Council may seek
a ruling on the matter, (ii) initiate the Reconsideration		(such as approval via a motion)	review with approval by 1/4
Request process in accordance with Section 4.2, (iii)		may apply.	of each House or majority of
initiate the Independent Review Process in accordance			one House.
with Section 4.3, or (iv) petition the EC to initiate (A) a		If a process is developed, this	
Community Independent Review Process pursuant to		should be incorporated into the	
Section 4.3 of Annex D or (B) a Board Recall Process		GNSO Operating Procedures.	
pursuant to <u>Section 3.3</u> of Annex D.			

New Bylaw Section	New Obligation/Right for the GNSO	Any New Procedure Required?	DT Recommendation
SECTION 22.8 INDEPENDENT INVESTIGATION	NEW: Joint written certification by 3 or	Similar observation to the	GNSO rep on the EC will act
If three or more Decisional Participants deliver to the	more Decisional Participants.	general right of inspection – the	in accord with instructions
Secretary a joint written certification from the		GNSO may wish to discuss the	approved by majority of each
respective chairs of each Decisional Participant that		need to create and document a	house.
the constituents of such Decisional Participants have,		new process for either (1) the	
pursuant to the internal procedures of such Decisional		GNSO to initiate or join a	
Participants, determined that there is a credible		certification of a determination	
allegation that ICANN has committed fraud or that		of allegation of fraud or gross	
there has been a gross mismanagement of ICANN's		mismanagement. Consider	
resources, [excerpt]		incorporating this into any new	
		process to be documented for	
		investigations.	

#### ARTICLE 25 AMENDMENTS; ARTICLE 26 SALE & DISPOSITION OF ICANN ASSETS

New Bylaw Section	New Obligation/Right for the GNSO	Any New Procedure Required?	DT Recommendation
SECTION 25.2 AMENDMENTS TO FUNDAMENTAL	NEW: EC to approve changes to or	The GNSO should discuss	GNSO rep on the EC will act
BYLAWS & ARTICLES OF INCORPORATION	deletions of Fundamental Bylaws and	processes and mechanisms by	in accord with instructions
25.2(b) a Fundamental Bylaw or the Articles of	Articles of Incorporation.	which the EC may be instructed	approved by GNSO
Incorporation may be altered, amended, or only upon		on this matter.	Supermajority
approval by a three-fourths vote of all Directors and			
the approval of the EC as set forth in this Section 25.2.			

New Bylaw Section	New Obligation/Right for the GNSO	Any New Procedure Required?	DT Recommendation
ARTICLE 26 SALE & DISPOSITION OF ICANN ASSETS	NEW: EC to approve sale or disposition	The GNSO should discuss	GNSO rep on the EC will act
26(a) ICANN may consummate a transaction or series	of assets.	processes and mechanisms by	in accord with instructions
of transactions that would result in the sale or		which the EC may be instructed	approved by GNSO
disposition of all or substantially all of ICANN's assets		on this matter.	Supermajority.
(an "Asset Sale") only upon approval by a three-			
fourths vote of all Directors and the approval of the EC			
as set forth in this Article 26.			

New Bylaw Section	New Obligation/Right for the GNSO	Any New Procedure Required?	DT Recommendation
ANNEX D EC MECHANISM SECTION 1.2 APPROVAL PROCESS  Regarding: Fundamental Bylaw Amendments Articles Amendments Asset Sales  Following the delivery of a Board Notice for an Approval Action by the Secretary to the EC, the Decisional Participants shall promptly inform their constituents of the delivery of the Approval Action Board Notice.	NEW: Informing constituents of delivery of the Approval Action Board Notice.	It may be that the existing methods for the GNSO to send a communication or notice via the Council may suffice.  May not require new procedures.	GNSO notification, but no decision.
SECTION 1.3 APPROVAL ACTION COMMUNITY FORUM  1.3(a) ICANN shall, at the direction of the EC Administration, convene a forum at which the Decisional Participants and interested parties may discuss the Approval Action.	Requesting a forum and deciding GNSO representation.	Is there a responsibility for Decisional Participants to request a forum? One point of discussion could be whether the GNSO would act through the GNSO Council if no other mechanism was determined or desired. GNSO might wish to consider whether different processes /voting weightages/principles are needed for different types (e.g. in impact or complexity).	GNSO rep on the EC will act in accord with instructions approved by majority of each house.
1.3(b) If the EC Administration requests a publicly- available conference call by providing a notice to the	EC request for a conference call and process.	When would the EC request a publicly-available conference	GNSO notification, but no decision.

New Bylaw Section	New Obligation/Right for the GNSO	Any New Procedure Required?	DT Recommendation
Secretary, ICANN shall, at the direction of the EC Administration, schedule such call prior to any Approval Action Community Forum, and inform the Decisional Participants of the date, time and participation methods of such conference call.		call? What would the process be for deciding?  See notes for 1.3 (a) above.	In any decision, the GNSO rep on the EC will act in accord with instructions approved by majority of each house.
(f) ICANN and any SO or AC (including Decisional Participants) may deliver to the EC Administration its views and questions on the Approval Action prior to the convening of and during the Approval Action Community Forum.	Providing comments to a forum.	Determine whether the existing process for the GNSO to submit comments to a public forum would suffice.  Questions: How will the EC receive and process these submissions? How will the GNSO determine whether to send its views to the EC? While the SGs/Cs may separately submit comments, it would be expected that only the GNSO Council comments we be considered as those coming from the GNSO as a Decisional Participant.	GNSO rep on the EC will act in accord with instructions approved by majority of each house.
1.3(i) During the Approval Action Community Forum Period, an additional one or two Community Forums may be held at the discretion of the Board or the EC Administration.	Procedure for requesting an additional forum and process for decision-making.	When would an additional forum be requested? What would the process be for deciding?  See notes for 1.3(a) above.	GNSO rep on the EC will act in accord with instructions approved by majority of each house.
SECTION 1.4 DECISION WHETHER TO APPROVE AN APPROVAL ACTION Regarding: Fundamental Bylaw Amendments Articles Amendments Asset Sales	Informing EC Administration of support, objection, abstention; forwarding notice.	How will GNSO decide whether to support/object/abstain?  It may be that the existing methods for the GNSO to send a communication or notice via the Council may suffice.  May not require new procedures.	GNSO rep on the EC will act in accord with instructions approved by GNSO Supermajority

#### ARTICLE 2 PROCEDURE FOR EXERCISE OF EC'S RIGHTS TO REJECT SPECIFIED ACTIONS

New Bylaw Section	New Obligation/Right for GNSO	Any New Procedure Required?	DT Recommendation
,		,	

New Bylaw Section	New Obligation/Right for GNSO	Any New Procedure Required?	DT Recommendation
SECTION 2.2 PETITION PROCESS FOR SPECIFIED	(b) Submitting a petition to a Decision	How would GNSO receive and	GNSO rep on the EC will act
ACTIONS	Participant, subject to the procedures	process individual petitions?	in accord with instructions
(b) During the period beginning on the Rejection	and requirements development by the		approved by majority of each
Action Board Notification Date and ending on the 21 <sup>st</sup>	Decisional Participant.	2.2 (c) (i) A-B How will GNSO	house.
day after the Rejection Action Board Notification Date,	(c) Acceptance or rejection of Rejection	decide whether to accept or	
subject to the procedures and requirements	Action Petition.	reject a petition?	
developed by the applicable Decisional Participant, an	(i) Providing written notice.		
individual may submit a petition to a Decisional	(A) Providing rationale.	The GNSO Council will need a	
Participant, seeking to reject the Rejection Action and	(B) Citing PDP and provision in the	procedure for how to address a	
initiate the Rejection Process (a "Rejection Action	Standard Bylaw Amendment.	petition submitted to it. It may	
Petition").		be that the current procedure for	
(c) A Decisional Participant that has received a		decision-making whereby a	
Rejection Action Petition shall either accept or reject		motion is considered and voted	
such Rejection Action Petition; provided that a		on – in this case to accept or	
Decisional Participant may only accept such Rejection		reject the petition. However,	
Action Petition if it was received by such Decisional		there may need to be new	
Participant during the Rejection Action Petition Period.		procedures on providing written	
(i) If, in accordance with the requirements of <u>Section</u>		notice, rationale, and citing PDP	
2.2(c) of this Annex D, a Decisional Participant accepts		and provisions in the Bylaws.	
a Rejection Action Petition during the Rejection Action		Discuss whether there needs to	
Petition Period, the Decisional Participant shall		be a new procedure for how to	
promptly providewritten notice of such acceptance		address a petition.	
SECTION 2.2 PETITION PROCESS FOR SPECIFIED	(d) Contact EC Administration and	New procedure to contact the EC	GNSO rep on the EC will act
ACTIONS	other Decisional Participants to	Administration and other	in accord with instructions
(d) Following the delivery of a Rejection Action	determine whether any others	Decisional Participants to	approved by majority of each
Petition Notice to the EC Administration pursuant to	support.	determine other support. New	house.
Section 2.2(c)(i) of this Annex D, the Rejection Action	(i) Providing written notice to the EC	procedures for providing written	
Petitioning Decisional Participant shall contact the EC	Administration, other Decisional	notice, rationale, contact	
Administration and the other Decisional Participants	Participant and Secretary.	information, statements, and	
to determine whether any other Decisional	(A) Supporting rationale.	Bylaws citation.	
Participants support the Rejection Action Petition.	(B) Contact information.	Navy and and one of the bar	
(i) If the Rejection Action Petitioning Decisional	(C) Statement re: conference call.	New procedures are likely	
Participant obtains the support of at least one other	(D) Statement re: forum.	required.	
Decisional Participant (a "Rejection Action Supporting	(D) Citing PDP Standard Bylaw		
Decisional Participant") the Rejection Action	Statement.		
Petitioning Decisional Participant shall provide written			
notice, to include:			
(A) a supporting rationale in reasonable detail;			
(C) a statement as to whether or not the Rejection			

New Bylaw Section	New Obligation/Right for GNSO	Any New Procedure Required?	DT Recommendation
Action Petitioning Decisional Participant and/or the			
Rejection Action Supporting Decisional Participant			
requests that ICANN organize a conference call prior			
to the Rejection Action Community Forum for the			
community to discuss the Rejection Action Supported			
Petition;			
(D) a statement as to whether the Rejection Action			
Petitioning and Supporting Decisional Participants			
have determined to hold the Rejection Action			
Community Forum during the next scheduled ICANN			
public meeting.			
(E) a PDP Standard Bylaw Statement			
CECTION 2.2 DELECTION ACTION COMMUNITY FOR THE	Durana fau da sidira.	LI	CNCO to the country of the coun
SECTION 2.3 REJECTION ACTION COMMUNITY FORUM	Process for deciding whether to	How will GNSO, as part of EC,	GNSO rep on the EC will act
2.3(a) If the EC Administration receives a Rejection	request a forum.	decide whether to request a	in accord with instructions
Action Supported Petition under Section 2.2(d) of this		forum?	approved by majority of each
Annex D during the Rejection Action Petition Support		S 4.2/-)	house.
Period, ICANN shall, at the direction of the EC		See 1.3(a) notes above.	
Administration, convene a forum at which the			
Decisional Participants and interested parties may			
discuss the Rejection Action Supported Petition SECTION 2.3 REJECTION ACTION COMMUNITY FORUM	Daliyan, ta FC Administration in uniting	How will the EC receive and	CNSO ron on the FC will get
(f) ICANN and any SO or AC may deliver to the EC	Delivery to EC Administration in writing views and questions on Rejection		GNSO rep on the EC will act in accord with instructions
Administration in writing its views and questions on	Action Supported Petition.	process these submissions? How will the GNSO decide whether to	approved by majority of each
the Rejection Action Supported Petition prior to the	Action Supported Petition.	send its views?	house.
convening of and during the Rejection Action		Seria its views:	nouse.
Community Forum.		Current procedures for collecting	
Community Forum.		GNSO Council views/questions	
		may apply.	
(h) If the Rejection Action Petitioning and Supporting	For the avoidance of doubt, the	How will GNSO decide if the issue	GNSO rep on the EC will act
Decisional Participants for a Rejection Action	Rejection Action Community Forum is	has been resolved?	in accord with instructions
Supported Petition agree before, during or after the	not a decisional body and the	355555541	approved by majority of each
Community Forum that the issue has been resolved,	foregoing resolution process shall be	Would need to explore whether	house
such Rejection Action Supported Petition shall be	handled pursuant to the <i>internal</i>	the GNSO has procedures that	
deemed withdrawn	procedures of the Rejection Action	would cover its actions as a	
	Petitioning Decisional Participant and	Rejection Action Petitioning	
	the Rejection Action Supporting	Decisional Participant/Supporting	
	Decisional Participant(s).	Participant.	
2.3(i) During the Rejection Action Community Forum	Process to decide whether to hold a	How will the EC determine	GNSO rep on the EC will act

New Bylaw Section	New Obligation/Right for GNSO	Any New Procedure Required?	DT Recommendation
Period, an additional one or two Rejection Action	forum.	whether an additional forum	in accord with instructions
Community Forums may be held at the discretion of a		should be held?	approved by majority of each
Rejection Action Petitioning and Supporting			house.
Participant or the EC Administration		See 1.3(a) notes above.	
New Bylaw Section	New Obligation/Right for the GNSO	Any New Procedure Required?	
SECTION 2.4 DECISION WHETHER TO REJECT A	Decision re: Rejection Action	How will GNSO decide whether	GNSO rep on the EC will act
REJECTION ACTION	Supported Petition and informing the	to support/object/abstain?	in accord with instructions
(a) Following the expiration of the Rejection Action	EC Administration: supports, objects,		approved by majority of each
Community Forum Period, with respect to each	abstains.	It may be that the existing	house.
Rejection Action Supported Petition, each Decisional		methods for the GNSO to send a	
Participant shall inform the EC Administration in		communication or notice via the	
writing as to whether such Decisional Participant (i)		Council may suffice. Also, the	
supports such Rejection Action Supported Petition and		current GNSO Council process of	
has determined to reject the Rejection Action , (ii)		submitting and voting on motions	
objects to such Rejection Action Supported Petition or		could be used to indicate	
(iii) has determined to abstain from the matter (which		support, objection, or abstention.	
shall not count as supporting or objecting to such		May not require new procedures.	
Rejection Action Supported Petition)			

#### ARTICLE 3 PROCEDURE FOR EXERCISE OF EC'S RIGHTS TO REMOVE DIRECTORS AND RECALL THE BOARD

ANTICLE 3 PROCEDURE FOR EXERCISE OF LC 3 MONTHS TO NEWOVE DIRECTORS AND RECALL THE BOARD				
New Bylaw Section	New Obligation/Right for GNSO	Any New Procedure Required?		
SECTION 3.1 NOMINATING COMMITTEE DIRECTOR	(a) Procedures and requirements for	How will GNSO receive and	GNSO rep on the EC will act	
REMOVAL PROCESS	an individual to submit a petition to a	process such petitions?	in accord with instructions	
(a) Subject to the procedures and requirements	Decisional Participant seeking to		approved by GNSO	
developed by the applicable Decisional Participant, an	remove a Director.	The GNSO Council may need a	Supermajority.	
individual may submit a petition to a Decisional	(b) Accepting or rejecting a	procedure for how to address a		
Participant seeking to remove a Director holding Seats	Nominating Director Removal	petition submitted to it. It may		
1 through 8 and initiate the Nominating Committee	Petition.	be that the current procedure for		
Director Removal Process ("Nominating Committee	(c) Inviting the Director subject to the	decision-making whereby a		
Director Removal Petition"). Each Nominating	Nominating Committee Director	motion is considered and voted		
Committee Director Removal Petition shall set forth the	Removal Petition and the Chair of the	on – in this case to accept or		
rationale upon which such individual seeks to remove	Board (or the Vice Chair of the Board	reject the petition.		
such Director.	if the Chair is the affected Director) to			
(b) During the period beginning on the date that the	a dialogue with the individual(s)	There may need to be new		
Decisional Participant received the Removal Petition	bringing the Nominating Committee	procedures on inviting the		
and ending on the 21 <sup>st</sup> day after, the Decisional	Director Removal Petition and the	Director to a dialog, contacting		
Participant that has received a Nominating Committee	Nominating Committee Director	the EC Administration and other		
Director Removal Petition shall either accept or reject	Removal Petitioned Decisional	Decisional Participants to		

New Bylaw Section	New Obligation/Right for GNSO	Any New Procedure Required?	
such Nominating Committee Director Removal Petition;  (i) the Nominating Committee Director Removal Petitioning Decisional Participant must obtain the support of at least one other Decisional Participant Each Decisional Participant shall provide a written notice to the EC Administration, the other Decisional Participants and the Secretary, to include:  (A) a supporting rationale in reasonable detail;  (C) a statement as to whether or not the Nominating Committee Director Removal Petitioning and/or supporting Decisional Participant requests that ICANN organize a conference call prior to the Nominating Committee Director Removal Community Forum for the community to discuss the Nominating Committee Director Removal Supported Petition; and  (f) Following the expiration of the Nominating Committee Director Removal Community Forum Period, each Decisional Participant shall inform the EC Administration in writing as to whether such Decisional Participant (i) supports such Nominating Committee Director Removal Supported Petition, (ii) objects to such Nominating Committee Director Removal Supported Petition or (iii) has determined to abstain from the matter (which shall not count as supporting or objecting to the Nominating Committee Director Removal Supported Petition),	Participant's representative on the EC Administration.  (i) providing written notice ("Nominating Committee Director Removal Petition Notice") of such acceptance to the EC Administration, the other Decisional Participants and the Secretary. The Nominating Committee Director Removal Petition Notice shall include the rationale.  (d) Nominating Committee Director Removal Petitioning Decisional Participant shall contact the EC Administration and the other Decisional Participants to determine whether any other Decisional Participants support the Nominating Committee Director Removal Petition.  (i) Providing a written notice to the EC Administration, the other Decisional Participants and the Secretary ("Nominating Committee Director Removal Supported Petition") within twenty-four (24) hours of receiving the support of at least one Nominating Committee Director Removal Supporting Decisional Participant. Including:  (A) supporting rationale (B) contact information (C) statement re: conference call (D) statement re: whether to hold a Community Forum	determine other support, providing written notice, rationale, contact information, statements, and Bylaws citation.  Existing method of GNSO Council communication may apply in the case of informing the EC Administration in writing, and indicating support, objections, or abstentions.	
SECTION 3.2 SO/AC DIRECTOR REMOVAL PROCESS	Removal of a SO/AC Director subject	The GNSO Council will need a	GNSO will determine in its
(a) Subject to the procedures and requirements	to the procedures and requirements	procedure for how to address a	procedures how to satisfy
developed by the applicable Decisional Participant, an	of the Decisional Participant.	petition submitted to it. Also for	the ¾ threshold required in

New Bylaw Section	New Obligation/Right for GNSO	Any New Procedure Required?	
individual may submit a petition to the ASO, ccNSO,		inviting a Director to a dialog and	Bylaws Annex D Section
GNSO or At-Large Community (as applicable, the	(a) Individual petitioning GNSO to	determining other support.It may	3.2(f) "three-quarters
"Applicable Decisional Participant") seeking to remove	remove a Director	be that the current procedure for	majority as determined
a Director who was nominated by that Supporting	(b) accepting or rejecting a petition	decision-making whereby a	pursuant to the internal
Organization or the At-Large Community in accordance	subject to internal procedures	motion is considered and voted	procedures of the Applicable
with Section 7.2(a) of the Bylaws, and initiate the	(c) Inviting Director to a dialog	on – in this case to accept or	Decisional Participant".
SO/AC Director Removal	(i) providing written notice of	reject the petition.	
(b) During the 21 day period, the Applicable Decisional	acceptance [of a petition] to the EC		Five DT members believe
Participant shall either accept or reject such SO/AC	Administration, the other Decisional	There may need to be new	that voting would occur only
Director Removal Petition pursuant to the internal	Participants and the Secretary.	procedures on inviting the	in the House that nominated
procedures of the Applicable Decisional Participant.	Including:	Director to a dialog, contacting	the director, while other DT
(i) If the Applicable Decisional Participant accepts an	(A) supporting rationale	the EC Administration and other	members said the entire
SO/AC Director Removal Petition, the Applicable	(B) contact information	Decisional Participants to	GNSO should vote on this
Decisional Participant shall, within twenty-four (24)	(C) statement re: conference call	determine other support,	decision.
hours of the Applicable Decisional Participant's	(D) statement re: whether to hold a	providing written notice,	
acceptance of the SO/AC Director Removal Petition,	Community Forum	rationale, contact information,	
provide written notice ("SO/AC Director Removal	(f) informing the EC Administration in	statements, and Bylaws citation.	
Petition Notice") of such acceptance. Such SO/AC	writing as to whether the Applicable		
Director Removal Petition Notice shall include:	Decisional Participant has support for	Existing method of GNSO Council	
(A) a supporting rationale in reasonable detail;	the SO/AC Director Removal Petition	communication may apply in the	
(B) contact information for at least one representative	within the Applicable Decisional	case of informing the EC	
who has been designated by the Applicable Decisional	Participant of a three-quarters	Administration in writing, and	
Participant who shall act as a liaison with respect to the	majority as determined pursuant to	indicating support, objections, or	
SO/AC Director Removal Petition;	the internal procedures of the	abstentions.	
(C) a statement as to whether or not the Applicable	Applicable Decisional Participant		
Decisional Participant requests that ICANN organize a	("SO/AC Director Removal Notice").		
publicly-available conference call prior to the SO/AC	The Applicable Decisional Participant		
Director Removal Community Forum (as defined in	shall, within twenty-four (24) hours of		
Section 3.2(d) of this Annex D) for the community to	obtaining such support, deliver the		
discuss the SO/AC Director Removal Petition; and	SO/AC Director Removal Notice to the		
(D) a statement as to whether the Applicable Decisional	EC Administration, including:		
Participant has determined to hold the SO/AC Director	(A) supporting rationale		
Removal Community Forum during the next scheduled	(B) contact information		
ICANN public meeting.	(C) statement re: conference call		
The SO/AC Director Removal Process shall thereafter	(D) statement re: whether to hold a		
continue for such SO/AC Director Removal Petition	Community Forum		
pursuant to <u>Section 3.2(d)</u> of this <u>Annex D</u> .			
	(f) informing the EC Administration in		
(f) Following the expiration of the SO/AC Director	writing as to whether the Applicable		

New Bylaw Section	New Obligation/Right for GNSO	Any New Procedure Required?	
Removal Comment Period,the Applicable Decisional	Decisional Participant has support for		
Participant shall inform the EC Administration as to	the SO/AC Director Removal Petition		
whether the Decisional Participant has support for the	within the Applicable Decisional		
SO/AC Director Removal Petition of a three-quarters	Participant of a three-quarters		
majority as determined pursuant to the internal	majority as determined pursuant to		
procedures of the Applicable Decisional Participant.	the internal procedures of the		
	Applicable Decisional Participant		
	("SO/AC Director Removal Notice")		
	Delivering the SO/AC Director		
	Removal Notice to the EC		
	Administration, the other Decisional		
	Participants and Secretary		
New Bylaw Section	New Obligation/Right for the GNSO	Any New Procedure Required?	
SECTION 3.3 BOARD RECALL PROCESS	NEW: Procedures and requirements	The GNSO Council will need a	GNSO rep on the EC will act
(a) Subject to the procedures and requirements	for an individual to submit a petition	procedure for how to address a	in accord with instructions
developed by the applicable Decisional Participant, an	to a Decisional Participant seeking to	petition submitted to it. It may	approved by GNSO
individual may submit a petition to a Decisional	remove all Directors.	be that the current procedure for	Supermajority.
Participant seeking to remove all Directors (other than		decision-making whereby a	
the President) at the same time and initiate the Board	(a) individual submitting a petition to	motion is considered and voted	
Recall Process ("Board Recall Petition"), provided that	a Decisional Participant seeking to	on – in this case to accept or	
a Board Recall Petition cannot be submitted solely on	remove all Directors (other than the	reject the petition.	
the basis of a matter decided by a Community IRP if (i)	President) at the same time and		
such Community IRP was initiated in connection with	initiate the Board Recall Process	There may need to be new	
the Board's implementation of GAC Consensus Advice	(b) accepting or rejecting such Board	procedures on inviting the	
and (ii) the EC did not prevail in such Community IRP.	Recall Petition	Director to a dialog, contacting	
Each Board Recall Petition shall include a rationale	(i) providing written notice ("Board	the EC Administration and other	
setting forth the reasons why such individual seeks to	Recall Petition Notice") of such	Decisional Participants to	
recall the Board. The process set forth in this <u>Section</u>	acceptance to the EC Administration,	determine other support,	
3.3 of this Annex D is referred to herein as the "Board	the other Decisional Participants and	providing written notice,	
Recall Process."	the Secretary.	rationale, contact information,	
(b) A Decisional Participant that has received a Board	(c) contacting the EC Administration	statements, and Bylaws citation.	
Recall Petition shall either accept or reject such Board	and the other Decisional Participants		
Recall Petition during the period beginning on the date	to determine whether any other	Existing method of GNSO Council	
the Decisional Participant received the Board Recall	Decisional Participants support the	communication may apply in the	
Petition ("Board Recall Petition Date") and ending at	Board Recall Petition.	case of informing the EC	
11:59 p.m. (as calculated by local time at the location of	(i) If obtains the support of at least	Administration in writing, and	
ICANN's principal office) on the date that is the 21 <sup>st</sup> day	two other Decisional Participants,	indicating support, objections, or	
after the Board Recall Petition Date (the "Board Recall	providing a written notice to the EC	abstentions.	

New Bylaw Section	New Obligation/Right for GNSO	Any New Procedure Required?	
Petition Period").	Administration, the other Decisional		
(i) If, in accordance with Section 3.3(b) of this Annex D,	Participants and the Secretary		
a Decisional Participant accepts a Board Recall Petition	("Board Recall Supported Petition")		
during the Board Recall Petition Period (such Decisional	within twenty-four hours of receiving		
Participant, the "Board Recall Petitioning Decisional	the support of at least two Board		
Participant"), the Board Recall Petitioning Decisional	Recall Supporting Decisional		
Participant shall, within twenty-four (24) hours of the	Participants, including:		
expiration of its acceptance of the Board Recall	including:		
Petition, provide written notice ("Board Recall Petition	(A) supporting rationale		
<b>Notice</b> ") of such acceptance to the EC Administration,	(B) contact information		
the other Decisional Participants and the Secretary.	(C) statement re: conference call		
(c) EC Administration and the other Decisional	(D) statement re: whether to hold a		
Participants to determine whether any other Decisional	Community Forum.		
Participants support the Board Recall Petition.			
(i) If the Board Recall Petitioning Decisional Participant	(v) delivering to the EC Administration		
obtains the support of at least two other Decisional	in writing its views and questions on		
Participants provide notice:	the Board Recall Supported Petition		
(A) a supporting rationale in reasonable detail;	prior to the convening of and during		
(C) a statement as to whether or not the Board Recall	the Board Recall Community Forum.		
Petitioning and/or Supporting Decisional Participants	(e) informing the EC Administration in		
want a conference call prior to the Board Recall Board	writing as to whether such Decisional		
Recall Community Forum; and	Participant (i) supports such Board		
(D) a statement as to whether the Board Recall	Recall Supported Petition, (ii) objects		
Petitioning Decisional and Supporting Participants have	to such Board Recall Supported		
determined to hold the Board Recall Community Forum	Petition or (iii) has determined to		
during the next scheduled ICANN public meeting.	abstain from the matter		
(v) ICANN and any Supporting Organization or Advisory			
Committee (including Decisional Participants) may			
deliver to the EC Administration in writing its views and			
questions on the Board Recall Supported Petition prior			
to the convening of and during the Board Recall			
Community Forum			
.Each Decisional Participant shall inform the EC Administration as to whether such Decisional			
Participant (i) supports such Board Recall Supported			
Petition, (ii) objects to such Board Recall Supported Petition or (iii) has determined to abstain from the			
matter (which shall not count as supporting or			

New Bylaw Section	New Obligation/Right for GNSO	Any New Procedure Required?	
objecting to such Board Recall Supported Petition),			

#### ARTICLE 4 PROCEDURE FOR EXERCISE OF EC'S RIGHTS TO INITIATE MEDIATION, A COMMUNITY IRP OR RECONSIDERATION REQUEST

	ARTICLE 4 PROCEDURE FOR EXERCISE OF EC S RIGHTS TO INITIATE MEDIATION, A COMMUNITY IRP OR RECONSIDERATION REQUEST				
	New Bylaw Section	New Obligation/Right for GNSO	Any New Procedure Required?	DT Recommendation	
	SECTION 4.1 MEDIATION INITIATION	Requesting initiation of a Mediation	The GNSO Council may need a	GNSO rep on the EC will act	
ļ	(a) If the Board refuses or fails to comply with a	with the Board in relation to that EC	new procedure for how it decides	in accord with instructions	
ļ	decision by the EC delivered to the Secretary pursuant	Decision as contemplated by <u>Section</u>	whether to request initiation of a	approved by majority of each	
	to an EC Approval Notice, EC Rejection Notice,	4.7 of the Bylaws, by delivering a	Mediation. For the notice it may	house.	
ļ	Nominating Committee Director Removal Notice,	notice to the EC Administration, the	be that the existing methods for		
ļ	SO/AC Director Removal Notice or EC Board Recall	Decisional Participants and the	the GNSO to send a		
	Notice pursuant to and in compliance with Article 1,	Secretary requesting the initiation of	communication or notice via the		
	Article 2 or Article 3 of this Annex D, or rejects or	a Mediation (" <b>Mediation Initiation</b>	Council may suffice.		
	otherwise does not take action that is consistent with a	Notice").			
	final IFR Recommendation, Special IFR				
	Recommendation, SCWG Creation Recommendation or				
	SCWG Recommendation, as applicable (each, an "EC				
	<b>Decision</b> "), the EC Administration representative of any				
	Decisional Participant who supported the exercise by				
	the EC of its rights in the applicable EC Decision during				
	the applicable decision period may request that the EC				
	initiate mediation with the Board in relation to that EC				
	Decision as contemplated by <u>Section 4.7</u> of the Bylaws				
	SECTION 4.2 COMMUNITY IRP	NEW: Initiating a Community IRP.	The GNSO Council may need a	GNSO rep on the EC will act	
	(a) After completion of a Mediation under <u>Section 4.</u> 7	(a) delivering a notice to the EC	new procedure for how it decides	in accord with instructions	
	of the Bylaws, the EC Administration representative of	Administration and the Decisional	whether to initiate a Community	approved by majority of each	
	any Decisional Participant who supported the exercise	Participants requesting the initiation	IRP. For the notice it may be that	house.	
	by the EC of its rights in the applicable EC Decision	of a Community IRP ("Community IRP	the existing methods for the		
	during the applicable decision period may request that	Petition"). The Community IRP	GNSO to send a communication		
	the EC initiate a Community IRP (a "Community IRP	Petitioning Decisional Participant shall	or notice via the Council may		
	Petitioning Decisional Participant"), as contemplated	forward such notice to the Secretary	suffice.		
	by <u>Section 4.3</u> of the Bylaws, by delivering a notice to	(b) contacting the EC Administration	Also for inviting a Director to a		
	the EC Administration and the Decisional Participants	and the other Decisional Participants	dialog and determining other		
	requesting the initiation of a Community IRP	to determine whether any other	support, and for providing		
	("Community IRP Petition"). The Community IRP	Decisional Participants support the	written notice, rationale, contact		
	Petitioning Decisional Participant shall forward such	Community IRP Petition. The	information, statements, and		
	notice to the Secretary for ICANN to promptly post on	Community IRP Decisional Participant	Bylaws citation.		
	the Website. The process set forth in this <u>Section 4.2</u> of	shall forward such communication to	New procedure to contact the EC		
	this <u>Annex D</u> as it relates to a particular Community IRP	the Secretary for ICANN to promptly	Administration and other		
	Petition is referred to herein as the "Community IRP	post on the Website.	Decisional Participants to		

New Bylaw Section	New Obligation/Right for GNSO	Any New Procedure Required?	DT Recommendation
Initiation Process."	(i) providing a written notice to the EC	determine other support. New	
(b) Following the delivery of a Community IRP Petition	Administration, the other Decisional	procedures for providing written	
to the EC Administration by a Community IRP	Participants and the Secretary within	notice, rationale, contact	
Petitioning Decisional Participant pursuant to Section	twenty-four (24) hours of providing	information, statements, and	
4.2(a) of this Annex D (which delivery date shall be	support to the Community IRP	Bylaws citation.	
referred to herein as the "Community IRP Notification	Petition including:		
Date"), the Community IRP Petitioning Decisional	(A) supporting rationale	Existing method of GNSO Council	
Participant shall contact the EC Administration and the	(B) contact information	communication may apply in the	
other Decisional Participants to determine whether any	(C) statement re: conference call	case of informing the EC	
other Decisional Participants support the Community	(D) statement re: whether to hold a	Administration in writing, and	
IRP Petition.	Community Forum.	indicating support, objections, or	
(i) If the Community IRP Petitioning Decisional	(E) PDP Fundamental Bylaw	abstentions.	
Participant obtains the support of at least one other	Statement if applicable		
Decisional Participant provide a written notice	(F) a PDP Standard Bylaw Statement if		
Such Community IRP Supported Petition shall include:	applicable		
(A) a supporting rationale in reasonable detail;	(G) a statement citing the specific		
(B) contact information for at least one representative	CCWG Policy Recommendation and		
who has been designated by the Community IRP	related provision in the Community		
Petitioning Decisional Participant who shall act as a	IRP Supported Petition ("CCWG Policy		
liaison with respect to the Community IRP Supported	Recommendation Statement"), and,		
Petition;	if so, the name of any Supporting		
(C) a statement as to whether or not the Community	Organization that is a Decisional		
IRP Petitioning Decisional Participant and/or the	Participant that approved the CCWG		
Community IRP Supporting Decisional Participant	Policy Recommendation ("CCWG		
requests that ICANN organize a publicly-available	Policy Recommendation Decisional		
conference call prior to the Community IRP Community	Participant").		
Forum;			
(D) a statement as to whether the Community IRP	(v) delivering to the EC Administration		
Petitioning Decisional Participant and the Community	in writing its views and questions on		
IRP Supporting Decisional Participant have determined	the Community IRP Supported		
to hold the Community IRP Community Forum during	Petition prior to the convening of and		
the next scheduled ICANN public meeting;	during the Community IRP		
(E) where the Community IRP Supported Petition	Community Forum AND		
relates to a Fundamental Bylaw Amendment, a PDP	delivering to the Secretary for prompt		
Fundamental Bylaw Statement if applicable and, if so,	posting on the Website in a manner		
the name of the Fundamental Bylaw Amendment PDP	deemed appropriate by ICANN.		
Decisional Participant;			
(F) where the Community IRP Supported Petition	(vii) resolution process shall be		
relates to a Standard Bylaw Amendment, a PDP	handled pursuant to the internal		

New Bylaw Section	New Obligation/Right for GNSO	Any New Procedure Required?	DT Recommendation
Standard Bylaw Statement if applicable and, if so, the	procedures of the Community IRP		
name of the Standard Bylaw Amendment PDP	Petitioning Decisional Participant and		
Decisional Participant; and	the Community IRP Supporting		
(G) where the Community IRP Supported Petition	Decisional Participant(s).		
relates to a policy recommendation of a cross	(d) informing the EC Administration in		
community working group chartered by more than one	writing as to whether such Decisional		
Supporting Organization ("CCWG Policy	Participant (i) supports such		
Recommendation"), a statement citing the specific	Community IRP Petition, (ii) objects to		
CCWG Policy Recommendation and related provision in	such Community IRP Petition or (iii)		
the Community IRP Supported Petition ("CCWG Policy	has determined to abstain from the		
<b>Recommendation Statement</b> "), and, if so, the name of	matter (which shall not count as		
any Supporting Organization that is a Decisional	supporting or objecting to the		
Participant that approved the CCWG Policy	Community IRP Petition), and each		
Recommendation("CCWG Policy Recommendation	Decisional Participant shall forward		
Decisional Participant").	such notice to the Secretary for		
	ICANN to promptly post on the		
(v) ICANN and any SO/AC may deliver to the EC	Website.		
Administration its views and questions on the			
Community IRP Supported Petition prior to the			
convening of and during the Community IRP			
Community Forum.			
(vii) If the Community IRP Petitioning Decisional			
Participant and each of the Community IRP Supporting			
Decisional Participants for the Community IRP			
Supported Petition <b>agree</b> before, during or after a			
Community IRP Community Forum that the issue raised			
in such Community IRP Supported Petition has been			
resolved, such Community IRP Supported Petition shall			
be deemed withdrawn and the Community IRP Process			
with respect to such Community IRP Supported Petition will be terminated.			
wiii be terriiirateu.			
(d) Following the expiration of the Community IRP			
Community Forum Period, each Decisional Participant			
shall inform the EC Administration whether such			
Decisional Participant (i) supports such Community IRP			
Petition, (ii) objects to such Community IRP Petition or			
(iii) has determined to abstain from the matter			
(iii) has determined to abstall from the matter			

New Bylaw Section	New Obligation/Right for GNSO	Any New Procedure Required?	DT Recommendation
New Bylaw Section	New Obligation/Right for the GNSO	Any New Procedure Required?	
·	Initiating a Reconsideration Request.	The GNSO Council may need a	GNSO rep on the EC will act
(a) Any Decisional Participant may request that the EC		•	in accord with instructions
initiate a Reconsideration Request (a "Community	(a) delivering notice to the EC	new procedure for how it decides	
Reconsideration Decisional Participant"), as	Administration and other Decisional	whether to initiate a	approved by majority of each
contemplated by <u>Section 4.2(b)</u> of the Bylaws, by	Participants requesting the review or	Reconsideration Request. For the	house.
delivering a notice to the EC Administration and the	reconsideration of an action or	notice it may be that the existing	
other Decisional Participants, with a copy to the	inaction of the ICANN Board or staff	methods for the GNSO to send a	
Secretary for ICANN to promptly post on the Website,	("Community Reconsideration	communication or notice via the	
requesting the review or reconsideration of an action	Petition").	Council may suffice.	
or inaction of the ICANN Board or staff ("Community	(b) contacting the EC Administration	Also for inviting a Director to a	
Reconsideration Petition"). A Community	and the other Decisional Participants	dialog and determining other	
Reconsideration Petition must be delivered within 30	to determine whether any other	support, and for providing	
days after the occurrence of any of the conditions set	Decisional Participants support the	written notice, rationale, contact	
forth in <u>Section 4.2(g)(i)(A)</u> , <u>(B)</u> or <u>(C)</u> of the Bylaws. In	Community Reconsideration Petition.	information, statements, and	
that instance, the Community Reconsideration Petition	The Community Reconsideration	Bylaws citation.	
must be delivered within 30 days from the initial	Decisional Participant shall forward	New procedure to contact the EC	
posting of the rationale.	such communication to the Secretary	Administration and other	
(b) Following the delivery of a Community	for ICANN to promptly post on the	Decisional Participants to	
Reconsideration Petition to the EC Administration the	Website.	determine other support. New	
Community Reconsideration Petitioning Decisional	(i) providing a written notice to the EC	procedures for providing written	
Participant shall contact the EC Administration and the	Administration, the other Decisional	notice, rationale, contact	
other Decisional Participants to determine whether any	Participants and the Secretary	information, statements, and	
other Decisional Participants support the Community	("Community Reconsideration	Byaws citation.	
Reconsideration Petition. The Community	Supported Petition") within twenty-		
Reconsideration Decisional Participant shall forward	four (24) hours of receiving the	Existing method of GNSO Council	
such communication to the Secretary for ICANN to	support of at least one Community	communication may apply in the	
promptly post on the Website.	Reconsideration Supporting	case of informing the EC	
(i) If the Community Reconsideration Petitioning	Decisional Participant.	Administration in writing, and	
Decisional Participant obtains the support of at least	(A) supporting rationale	indicating support, objections, or	
one other Decisional Participant provide a written	(B) contact information	abstentions.	
notice. Such Community Reconsideration Supported	(C) statement re: conference call		
Petition shall include:	(D) statement re: whether to hold a		
(A) a supporting rationale in reasonable detail;	Community Forum.		
(C) a statement as to whether or not the Community			
Reconsideration Petitioning and/or Supporting	(v) delivering to the EC Administration		
Participant requests a conference callfor the	in writing its views and questions on		
community to discuss the Community Reconsideration	the Community Reconsideration		
Supported Petition; and	Supported Petition prior to the		

New Bylaw Section	New Obligation/Right for GNSO	Any New Procedure Required?	DT Recommendation
(D) a statement as to whether the Community	convening of and during the		
Reconsideration Petitioning Decisional and Supporting	Community Reconsideration		
Participants want to hold the Community	Community Forum		
Reconsideration Community Forum during the next	delivering to the EC Administration		
scheduled ICANN public meeting.	shall also be delivered to the		
	Secretary for prompt posting on the		
(v) ICANN and any SO/AC may deliver to the EC its	Website in a manner deemed		
views and questions on the Community	appropriate by ICANN.		
Reconsideration Supported Petition prior to the			
convening of and during the Community	(vii) resolution process shall be		
Reconsideration Community Forum.	handled pursuant to the internal		
	procedures of the Community		
(d) Following the expiration of the Community	Reconsideration Petitioning		
Reconsideration Community Forum Period each	Decisional Participant and the		
Decisional Participant shall inform the EC	Community Reconsideration		
Administration whether such Decisional Participant (i)	Supporting Decisional Participant(s).		
supports such Community Reconsideration Petition, (ii)			
objects to such Community Reconsideration Petition or	(d) informing the EC Administration in		
(iii) has determined to abstain from the matter	writing as to whether such Decisional		
	Participant (i) supports such		
	Community Reconsideration Petition,		
	(ii) objects to such Community		
	Reconsideration Petition or (iii) has		
	determined to abstain from the		
	matter (which shall not count as		
	supporting or objecting to the		
	Community Reconsideration Petition),		
	and each Decisional Participant shall		
	forward such notice to the Secretary		
	for ICANN to promptly post on the		
	Website.		