



ICANN | 53 
Buenos Aires

21-25 JUNE 2015

Pre-ICANN 53 Policy Update Webinar

11 June 2015



Welcome



David Olive

ICANN Vice-President for
Policy Development
Support

General Manager of the
ICANN Regional
Headquarters Hub in
Istanbul

ICANN 53 Outlook:

Monday, 22 June 2015

- ⦿ Welcome Ceremony (08:30-10:00)
 - Ethos Award Recipient(s) Announced
- ⦿ SO/AC High-Interest Topic Session (15:15-16:30)

Tuesday, 23 June 2015

- ⦿ Constituency Day Sessions

Wednesday, 24 June 2015

- ⦿ GNSO Public Council Meeting (13:00-15:00)
- ⦿ ccNSO Council Meeting (16:00-18:30)
- ⦿ LACRALO Showcase (18:30-20:30)

Thursday, 25 June 2015

- ⦿ CEO Succession Process (12:15-13:15)
- ⦿ ICANN Public Forum (14:00-17:30)
- ⦿ ICANN Public Board Meeting (17:30-18:30)

ICANN 53 In Focus:

Sunday, 21 June 2015

- ⦿ CCWG-Accountability Meeting with the ICANN Board (15:00-16:00)
- ⦿ IANA Stewardship Transition/ Enhancing ICANN Accountability Information Session (18:30-20:00)

Monday, 22 June 2015

- ⦿ CWG-Stewardship/ CCWG-Accountability Joint Town Hall Meeting (10:30–13:00)
- ⦿ CWG-Stewardship Engagement Session(16:45-18:00)

Wednesday, 23 June 2015:

- ⦿ AoC and Organizational Reviews: Supporting ICANN Accountability (10:30-12:00)
- ⦿ CCWG-Accountability Working Session 2 (15:30 – 17:00)

Thursday, 24 June 2015

- ⦿ CWG-Stewardship Working Session (08:30-10:00)
- ⦿ CCWG-Accountability Working Session 3 (10:30-12:00)

ICANN's Work: Community-Driven Policy Development

To keep pace with dynamic technologies and rapid innovation, ICANN enables consensus-driven multistakeholder policy development with broad representation from the global Internet Community.

A number of groups are involved: Supporting Organizations, Advisory Committees, Technical Advisory Bodies, and the Board of Directors.



Policy Development Support: Why?

ASSIST the Community

Create policies and guidelines that are:

- Implementable and effective
- Developed through a highly participative, fair, and balanced process in a timely and efficient way

SUPPORT the Community

- Engage and support the participation of all necessary stakeholders
- Inform and educate stakeholders

MANAGE Processes

- Manage the policy development process efficiently and effectively to benefit the global Internet community

Policy Development: Support Tools

- ⦿ Working Group Model
- ⦿ Meetings: in-person, teleconference, virtual
- ⦿ Public Comments
- ⦿ Collaboration Mechanisms
- ⦿ Publications
- ⦿ Webinars



Support

bottom-up, consensus
policies and guidelines

Policy Development: Who?

ICANN Supporting Organizations (SO)

- ⦿ Address Supporting Organization—ASO
- ⦿ Country Code Names Supporting Organization—ccNSO
- ⦿ Generic Names Supporting Organization—GNSO

ICANN Advisory Committees (AC)

- ⦿ At-Large Advisory Committee (ALAC)
- ⦿ Governmental Advisory Committee (GAC)
- ⦿ Root Server System Advisory Committee (RSSAC)
- ⦿ Security and Stability Advisory Committee (SSAC)



CWG –Stewardship Proposal Update

David Olive

CWG-Stewardship Proposal - Webinar

- ⦿ Following Public Comment periods in December 2014 and April/May 2015, the Cross Community Working Group to Develop an IANA Stewardship Transition Proposal for Naming Related Functions (CWG-Stewardship) has finalized its proposal and delivered it to SO/AC chartering organizations for approval.
- ⦿ Chartering organizations will deliberate during the ICANN53 meeting in Buenos Aires, with expected delivery of the proposal to the IANA Stewardship Transition Coordination Group (ICG) by 25 June 2015.
- ⦿ Two briefing webinars on the contents of the final proposal are being held today, 11 June by the CWG-Stewardship Chairs (06:00 UTC and 13:00 UTC) so the Policy Development Support team will not be providing further updates on this topic during this session.

Further information

- ⦿ For further information about the CWG-Stewardship's work, please see <https://community.icann.org/x/37fhAg>.
- ⦿ For further information about the ICG and the IANA Stewardship Transition, please see <https://www.icann.org/stewardship>

Generic Names Supporting Organization

Issues being discussed in the GNSO


- ⊙ Translation & transliteration of registration data
- ⊙ Privacy & Proxy Services Accreditation
- ⊙ Policy & Implementation
- ⊙ New gTLD Subsequent Procedures
- ⊙ Data & Metrics for Policy Making
- ⊙ Curative Rights Protections for IGO/INGOs
- ⊙ gTLD Registration Data Services
- ⊙ Others – currently there are over 15 projects underway



Privacy & Proxy Services Accreditation Issues Policy Development Process

Mary Wong

PDP Timeline



Scope of WG Charter

Develop “policy recommendations regarding the issues identified during the 2013 RAA negotiations, including recommendations made by law enforcement and GNSO working groups, that were not addressed during the 2013 RAA negotiations and otherwise suited for a PDP; specifically, issues relating to the accreditation of Privacy & Proxy Services.”

Key (preliminary) Recommendations

1

No distinction in principle between Privacy & Proxy Services

- ⦿ WHOIS fields to indicate when it is a P/P registration
- ⦿ P/P customer data to be validated/verified per 2013 RAA WHOIS Accuracy Specification
- ⦿ Certain mandatory provisions to be included in P/P customer agreements
- ⦿ ICANN to publish list of accredited P/P providers
- ⦿ Process to be followed in de-accreditation

2

Contactability, Responsiveness & Abuse Reporting

- ⦿ Providers to have designated contact points and standardized reporting form/criteria
- ⦿ Contacts must be “capable and authorized” per TEAC requirement under IRTP
- ⦿ Non-exhaustive list of malicious conduct to be developed

3

Relay of Electronic Communications

- ⦿ All communications required by RAA or ICANN Consensus Policies must be forwarded
- ⦿ One of two options recommended for other electronic communications
- ⦿ Requestor to be notified if provider becomes aware of “persistent delivery failure”

4

Reveal of Customer Identity/Contact Details

- ⦿ Uniform definitions recommended for “Disclose” and “Publish” (instead of “Reveal”)
- ⦿ Provider’s published Terms of Service to contain certain specific provisions, including customer notification/options
- ⦿ Illustrative framework for submission & handling of Disclosure requests from IP rights-holders

Issues for which the WG has not yet reached consensus

1

Whether certain types of commercial activity associated with a domain name should be barred from using P/P services

- ⦿ Consensus that status of customer (e.g. commercial/non-commercial) not to determine availability of P/P services
- ⦿ But majority and minority view on use of P/P services by certain forms of commercial activity (online financial transactions associated with a domain name)

2

Escalation of Relay Requests

- ⦿ What should be minimum mandatory requirements for escalating relay requests if there is a persistent delivery failure?

3

Disclosure or Publication Requests from Third Parties other than IP Owners (e.g. Law Enforcement)

- ⦿ Mandatory compliance with express LEA requests not to notify a customer?
- ⦿ Mandatory Publication for certain illegal activities? Remedies for unwarranted Publication?

More Information and How to Provide Input

- ⦿ WG Open Meeting at ICANN53 – **Wednesday 24 June** from **15.00-17.00** local time
- ⦿ WG Initial Report:
<http://gnso.icann.org/en/issues/raa/ppsai-initial-05may15-en.pdf>
- ⦿ Public Comment Forum:
<https://www.icann.org/public-comments/ppsai-initial-2015-05-05-en>
- ⦿ Optional Template for Response: <https://s.zoomerang.com/s/VTLNGF5>
- ⦿ Summary Page of WG Activities:
<http://gnso.icann.org/en/group-activities/active/ppsa>
- ⦿ WG wiki space: <https://community.icann.org/x/FTR-Ag>



Translation and Transliteration of Contact Information PDP: Initial Report

Julie Hedlund / Lars Hoffmann


Charter Questions and Timetable

Two Charter Questions

1. Whether it is desirable to translate or transliterate contact information into a single common language?
2. Who should decide who should bear the burden transforming* contact information to a single language?

* The WG has uses the short form 'transformation' throughout this presentation to replace the term 'translation or transliteration'.

Timeline



Arguments opposing mandatory transformation

- ⦿ Data submitted in language known best by registrant will be most accurate.
- ⦿ It would be near impossible to achieve consistent accuracy in transforming all scripts and languages – mostly of proper nouns – into a common script.
- ⦿ Accurate translation needs to be done manual and is thus very expensive.
- ⦿ The financial burden could have negative impact on less developed regions that, in addition, often do not use Latin script.
- ⦿ Usability of transformed data is questionable because registered name holders unfamiliar with Latin script would not be able to communicate in Latin script.

Key Recommendations

1

No Mandatory Transformation

The Working Group recommends that it is not desirable to make transformation of contact information mandatory. Any parties requiring transformation are free to do it ad hoc.

2

New RDS and identification of script/language

The Working Group recommends, in line with the EWG on International Registration Data, that any new Registration Directory Service database [...] should be capable of receiving input in the form of non-Latin script contact information. Those requesting data should be able to determine which script/language it was submitted in.

3

Submit data in language/script used by Registrar

The Working Group recommends that registrars determine which languages and script they support and any of those can be used by Registrars to submit their data. This is important as the burden of data verification remains with the registrar in line with the RAA, Additional Whois Information Policy (AWIP), and all relevant Consensus Policy.

More Information

- ⦿ Final Report: <http://gnso.icann.org/en/group-activities/active/transliteration-contact>
- ⦿ Public Comment in Initial Report: <https://www.icann.org/public-comments/transliteration-contact-initial-2014-12-16-en>
- ⦿ Webinar on Initial Report: <https://icann.adobeconnect.com/p2lzk3zy0f/>
- ⦿ Wiki Page: <https://community.icann.org/x/FTR-Ag>



New gTLD Subsequent Procedures Discussion Group

Steve Chan

Why is it important?



Background

With over 600 TLDs delegated, the community felt that analysis and discussion of the 2012 round of the New gTLD Program should begin.



GNSO Initiates Discussion Group

GNSO Council formed the Discussion Group in June 2014 to discuss experiences gained from the 2012 round and identify subjects for future issue report(s) that might lead to changes or adjustments for subsequent application procedures.



Broad participation

Community members from a broad spectrum of SO/AC/SG/Cs contributed to identify issues that they or their constituents experienced.

Current Status & Next Steps

Current status

- ⦿ Drafted an executive summary, providing brief background and current program status, along with a narrative of the group's deliberations
- ⦿ Prepared a matrix which assigns identified issues to the original GNSO recommendations, where possible
- ⦿ Prepared a draft charter which may be included and used with a possible Issue Report / PDP Working Group


nxt

Next Steps

- ⦿ Submit deliverables to the GNSO Council, along with a completed request for Issue Report template and a motion
- ⦿ GNSO Council to deliberate and make decision on path forward

More Information

- ⦿ New gTLD Subsequent Procedures DG F2F – Wednesday 24 June from 11:00 – 12:00 local time
- ⦿ Summary of DG Activities:
<http://gnso.icann.org/en/group-activities/active/non-pdp-new-gtld>
- ⦿ DG Wiki Space: <https://community.icann.org/x/OrXhAg>



Policy & Implementation WG: Final Report

Marika Konings

Why is it important?



Background

Increased focus on which topics call for policy and which call for implementation work, including which processes should be used, at what time and how diverging opinions should be acted upon



GNSO Initiates WG

GNSO Council formed Working Group in July 2013 to address a number of questions specifically related to policy and implementation in the context of the GNSO



Broad input sought

Community discussion and input requested at an early stage. Initial Recommendations Report published for public comment in January 2015. See [review of public comments](#) received.



Current Status

The WG has submitted its Final Recommendations Report to the GNSO Council for consideration.

Final Report - Recommendations

1

The WG recommends adhering to the Policy & Implementation principles as outlined in section 4 when policy or implementation related issues arise in the implementation phase

2

The WG proposes three new standardized processes for GNSO deliberations, namely 1) a GNSO Input Process, 2) a GNSO Guidance Process, and 3) a GNSO Expedited Policy Development Process

3

The WG recommends requiring the creation of an Implementation Review Team (IRT) following the adoption of policy recommendations and adhering to the IRT principles as outlined in Annex H

Next steps

- ⦿ GNSO Council to consider the Final Report for adoption in Buenos Aires, followed by ICANN Board consideration.

For further information, see:

- ⦿ Policy & Implementation Final Recommendations Report – <http://gns0.icann.org/en/drafts/policy-implementation-recommendations-01jun15-en.pdf>
- ⦿ GNSO Council Meeting in Buenos Aires on Wednesday 24 June from 13.00 – 15.00 – <http://buenosaires53.icann.org/en/schedule/wed-gns0-council>



Other GNSO Projects

IGO/
INGO

PDP: IGO-INGO Access to Curative Rights Protection Mechanisms

What it's about: Whether to amend existing dispute resolution processes or develop new, separate process to address specific needs and concerns of IGOs and INGOs

Status: WG discussing IGO immunity/jurisdiction issue and related due process concerns

Buenos Aires Activity: WG meeting

Milestones/Target Date: Late 2015 for publication of initial PDP recommendations

gTLD
RDS

PDP: gTLD Registration Data Services

What it's about: the ICANN Board reconfirmed its request for a Board-initiated GNSO policy development process to define the purpose of collecting, maintaining and providing access to gTLD registration data, and consider safeguards for protecting data, using the recommendations in the EWG [Final Report](#) as an input to, and, if appropriate, as the foundation for a new gTLD policy

Status: Preliminary Issue Report to be published for public comment shortly.

Buenos Aires Activity: Status update during GNSO weekend session

Milestones/Target Date: Publication of Preliminary Issue Report expected in June 2015

**For the GNSO @ ICANN53 – the
Essentials, please visit:
gns0.icann.org/icannmeeting**

The background of the slide is a teal color with a white network diagram overlaid. The diagram consists of numerous small circular nodes connected by thin white lines, forming a complex web that roughly outlines the shape of the world's continents. The nodes are more densely packed in some areas, particularly in the North Atlantic and Europe, and more sparse in others, like the southern oceans.

Cross Community Working Groups (CWGs) & Joint Efforts

Marika Konings/Bart Boswinkel/Lars
Hoffmann/Mary Wong

CWG: Country and Territory Names as Top Level Domains

What is it about: This Cross Community Working Group to draft and recommend to chartering organizations a harmonized framework for use of names of country and territory names as top level domains



CWG
CTN

Status: CWG has agreed on an preliminary set of definitions and also completed its initial discussion on 2-letter codes as TLDs. Next, member will address 3-letter codes as TLDs. The Group is also in close contact with the GAC to coordinate related issues that the GAC is tackling in its WG on Geographic Names.

Buenos Aires Activity: F2F on Monday 22 June 2015; 13:00-14:30 in meeting room Retiro A

Milestones/Target Date: ICANN 54

Other CWGs & Joint Projects

CWG: Framework of CWG Principles

What is it about: A cross community effort to develop uniform principles and guidance for future Cross Community Working Groups

CWG²


Status: WG co-chairs developing initial template/process framework

Buenos Aires Activity: WG to discuss draft framework with community on Wednesday 24 June from 0800-0900 local time

Milestones/Target Date: Publication of draft framework following ICANN53

Country Code Names **Supporting Organization**

Bart Boswinkel



- ◎ ccNSO decision making process CWG
Proposal
- ◎ SECIR
- ◎ Other substantive work
- ◎ Information

⦿ Issues

- ccNSO is chartering organisation
- Ultimately support ccTLD community needed (members and non-members ccNSO)
- Potential overlap with delegation and redelegation work ccNSO
- dependency Accountability work

⦿ ccNSO Council position dependent on view ccTLD community

Tuesday & Wednesday Sessions in BA:

- ⦿ Overview of CWG, CCWG and ICG Processes - Timelines and Interdependencies
- ⦿ ccTLD Positions on Areas of Convergence CWG
- ⦿ CCWG progress and ccTLD views
- ⦿ Areas of potential diverging views on CWG Proposal (Panel discussion)
- ⦿ Wrap up and sense of the community
- ⦿ ccNSO Council meeting

TLD-OPS Secure Communication Email List

- ⦿ Set up by the Secure Email Communication for ccTLD Incident Response (SECIR) WG
- ⦿ Contact Repository for ccTLDs
- ⦿ Run by ccNSO Secretariat on OARC Platform
- ⦿ Invitations sent to all ccTLD operators
- ⦿ Currently 153 subscribers (including IDNs); outreach efforts continue
- ⦿ <http://ccnso.icann.org/resources/tld-ops-secure-communication.htm>

◎ ccNSO SOP WG

- Input of FY 16 draft Ops Plan & Budget
- To be discussed with ICANN staff, presented to community and other groups

◎ ccNSO Guideline Review committee (GRC)

- Review of all internal guidelines and rules of the ccNSO to reflect changing practices and growth of ccNSO
- Updates when necessary, to be approved by Council

Background information

- ◎ ccNSO Meetings Agenda

<http://ccnso.icann.org/meetings/buenos-aires53>

- ◎ ccNSO SOPWG background info

<http://ccnso.icann.org/workinggroups/sopiwg.htm>

- ◎ Latest SOP WG submission

<http://ccnso.icann.org/about/ccnso-sop-wg-comments-29apr15-en.pdf>

- ◎ SECIR

<http://ccnso.icann.org/workinggroups/secir.htm>

- ◎ GRC

<http://ccnso.icann.org/workinggroups/grc.htm>

Address Supporting Organization

Barbara Roseman

ASO Address Council

- ⦿ The ASO AC has 15 members, 3 from each region, and one person per region is elected each year.
- ⦿ The regional policy forum of each RIR selects two members.
- ⦿ The Executive Board of each RIR also appoints one person from its respective region.
 - Mark Elkins was appointed to replace Alan Barrett through December 2015
 - Ricardo Patara was re-appointed to serve through December 2018

Global Policies and Other Activities

What is a Global Policy?

- ⦿ The RIRs develop many regional addressing policies
- ⦿ Only very few policies affect IANA and only those are called “Global Policies”

Areas of local policy under discussion at the RIR meetings:

- ⦿ IPv4 transfer policies
- ⦿ Loosening qualifications for IPv6 allocations
- ⦿ Inter-registry transfers of IP address blocks

Ron da Silva appointed to replace Ray Plzak on Seat 9 of the Board of Directors beginning after ICANN54

- ⦿ Work sessions throughout the week
- ⦿ CRISP discussions
- ⦿ Meeting with the ICANN Board of Directors

ASO AC Public Meeting and Workshop

Wednesday, 24 June, 15:30—17:00, Libertador C



Root Server System Advisory Committee Update

Steve Sheng

Structure and Work

- ⊙ 12 Board Appointed Root Server Operator Representatives
- ⊙ 3 Root Zone Management Partner Representatives
- ⊙ 3 Liaisons
- ⊙ Co-Chairs
 - Lars-Johan Liman, Netnod/RSO I-root (Sweden)
 - Tripti Sinha, University of Maryland/RSO D-root (USA)
- ⊙ Meets monthly via teleconference and in person at ICANN public meetings



- ⦿ 61 Technical Experts (43% do *not* work for Root Server Operators)
- ⦿ Held successful kick-off meeting at IETF92
- ⦿ Current Work: Report on Root Zone TTLs
- ⦿ Proposed Study Areas:
 - Whether or not to DNSSEC-sign the root-servers.net zone
 - Whether or not to move root-servers.net to a new TLD (.root-servers)
 - Creating a framework to track deployment of RSSAC002 statistics
- ⦿ To apply: rssac-membership@icann.org

Three Work Sessions

- ⊙ IANA Stewardship Transition and ICANN Accountability
- ⊙ Future work items
- ⊙ RSSAC Workshop planning

Joint Meetings

- ⊙ SSAC
- ⊙ Board of Directors

Public Session | Wednesday, 24 June, 15:30-16:45 in Auditorio

- ⊙ RSSAC001 and RSSAC002 implementation updates
- ⊙ Root Zone TTLs Work Party Draft Advisory

A world map where the continents are defined by a network of white dots and connecting lines, set against a teal background. The dots vary in size, and the lines are thin and light-colored.

Security and Stability Advisory Committee Update

Julie Hedlund/Steve Sheng



SAC070: SSAC Advisory on the Use of Static TLD / Public Suffix Lists

What is Public Suffix List?

- ⦿ Public suffix: “a domain under which multiple parties that are unaffiliated with the owner of the Public Suffix domain may register subdomains.”
- ⦿ Examples:

www.icann[org] www.bbc[co.uk]


www.pps[k12.pa.us]

- ⦿ No programmatic way to determine the boundary where a Domain Name System (DNS) label changes stewardship from a public suffix.
- ⦿ Tracking the boundary accurately is critically important for security, privacy, and usability issues in many systems and applications, such as web browsers.

Why Public Suffix Lists Are Important?


Use Case	Descriptions	Applications
Cookie Setting	Decide whether a cookie should be allowed to set for a suffix of a given domain	Mozilla Firefox, Google Chrome, Safari, Opera
SSL Certificates	Decide whether to issue or accept an SSL wildcard certificate for *.public.suffix.	Certificate Authorities
Navigability	Decide whether a browser should attempt to navigate to a given URL without consulting DNS	Google Chrome
TLD Validation	Determine the validity of TLDs in a domain name	Web forms, programming language libraries
Domain Highlighting	Decide which part of a domain to highlight	Mozilla Firefox

Inconsistent Suffix Lists Usage



Google Chrome
37.0.2062.124

Apple Safari
7.0.6 9537.78.2



SAC070 Recommendations

Parties to take Action	Recommendation
IETF	Standardize PSL alternatives (IETF DBOUND WG)
IETF	Develop consensus definition of “public suffix” and other associated terminology
ICANN & Mozilla Foundation	Collaboratively create informational materials that can be given to TLD registry operators about Mozilla PSL
ICANN	Encourage the software development community to develop and distribute programming and operating system libraries for PSL.
Application developers	Use canonical file format and modern authentication protocols as specifications

SAC070 Recommendations cont.

Parties to take Action	Recommendation
Application developers	Replace proprietary PSLs with Mozilla PSL and the proposed IANA PSL
IANA	Host a PSL containing information about the domain within the registries with which IANA has direct information
ICANN	Explicitly include the use and actions related to the PSL as part of the work related to universal acceptance



SAC071: SSAC Comments on Cross Community Working Group Proposal on ICANN Accountability Enhancements

SSAC Charter: The SSAC advises “the ICANN community and Board on matters relating to the security and integrity of the Internet's naming and address allocation systems.”

The SSAC:

- ⦿ Has neither been given nor sought any standing for its advice other than that it be evaluated on its merits and adopted (or not) according to that evaluation by the affected parties;
- ⦿ Believes that this purely advisory role is the one to which it is best suited; and
- ⦿ Asks the CCWG-Accountability to take this into account in its review of the options described in Section 5.1.2.

SSAC Comments, Continued

The SSAC:

- ⦿ Has no comment at this time on whether or not a legal structure is required or desirable to compel ICANN and the Board to respond to the SSAC's advice.
- ⦿ Is concerned about the way in which the proposed new SO/AC Membership Model might affect the way in which the SSAC operates, considering its narrow focus on security and stability matters and its reluctance to become involved in issues outside that remit.
- ⦿ Expects that the community will adopt an organizational structure that recognizes the role and importance of high-quality expert advice on security and stability.
- ⦿ Notes the relatively short time available for consideration of the draft proposal and reserves the right to make additional comments as further details are developed.



SSAC Activities at ICANN53

SSAC Activities at ICANN 53

- ⦿ DNSSEC for Everybody: A Beginner's Guide – 22 June
- ⦿ DNSSEC Workshop – 24 June
- ⦿ SSAC Public Meeting – 25 June
- ⦿ Meeting with the community, including the ALAC, GAC, and GNSO.
- ⦿ For more information on the SSAC see:
 - Main web page: <https://www.icann.org/groups/ssac>
 - Publications: <https://www.icann.org/resources/pages/documents-2012-02-25-en>

A world map where the continents are defined by a network of white dots connected by thin white lines. The background is a solid orange color. The map is centered and occupies most of the frame.

GAC Update

Olof Nordling/Julia Charvolen

- ⦿ The Governmental Advisory Committee (GAC) currently has 152 governments as members and 32 IGOs as observers
- ⦿ The GAC meets face-to-face at ICANN meetings, with inter-sessional work conducted remotely
- ⦿ The GAC provides advice to the ICANN Board on public policy matters

Policy-Related Activities

- ⦿ IANA Stewardship Transition and ICANN Accountability
- ⦿ Remaining issues with the New gTLD Program:
 - Protection of IGO acronyms
 - Implementation of GAC Safeguard Advice
 - Country names on the second level
- ⦿ Topics addressed by new GAC Working Groups:
 - Human Rights & International Law
 - Public Safety
 - Under-Served Regions
 - Community Applications
- ⦿ Meetings with GNSO, ccNSO, ALAC and the Board

Other Activities

- ⦿ Update on GAC-related ATRT2 Recommendations
- ⦿ Preparations for ICANN 54 in Dublin, including call for Vice-Chair candidates
- ⦿ Preparations for next HLGGM to take place at ICANN 55
- ⦿ The GAC has a full agenda from Saturday to Thursday.
- ⦿ All sessions are open, except the Communiqué drafting on Wednesday afternoon.
- ⦿ **Welcome to the GAC meeting room: SAN TELMO!**

ALAC/At-Large Activities

Heidi Ullrich / Ariel Liang / Silvia Vivanco

ALAC Main Topics in Buenos Aries

NTIA IANA Functions' Stewardship Transition

- ⊙ Weekly calls of the At-Large Ad-hoc WG on the Transition of US Government Stewardship of the IANA Function and over 5 hours of sessions scheduled at ICANN 53.
- ⊙ The ALAC is generally supportive of the Draft CWG Proposal.
- ⊙ The ALAC would prefer an IANA wholly integrated into ICANN, but is willing to accept a compromise of a separate legal entity.
- ⊙ The ALAC is very concerned about lack of multi-stakeholder oversight involvement and we will offer guidance as to how this might be addressed.

ICANN Accountability and Transparency

- ⊙ In general the ALAC is supportive of the direction being taken by the CCWG.
- ⊙ In its comments, the ALAC provided guidance on a number of issues, some of which the CCWG is explicitly seeking, and others where the ALAC believes that reconsideration may be required.
- ⊙ In particular, the ALAC has strong concerns about whether true "enforceability" of the proposed mechanisms is required, and how the potential use of such enforceability will alter the spirit of the organization.



ALAC and At-Large Activities at ICANN 53

- ⊙ ALAC/At-Large will hold 29 formal meetings
- ⊙ For their meeting with the ICANN Board of Directors, questions to be raised are on the topics of:
 - Equitable Access for all Stakeholders
 - Postponement of At-Large Review as example of community accepting an overloaded agenda
 - PICs and Category 1 TLDs
- ⊙ Meetings will be held with the ccNSO, GAC, NCSG and SSAC leadership as well as ICANN staff
- ⊙ 7 At-Large Working Groups will be meeting face-to-face to facilitate progress in both policy and process activities

ALAC Policy Advice (ICANN 52 – Current)

1

Potential Change to Registrar Accreditation Insurance Requirements

- *Commercial general liability requirement is the most critical barrier for registrars in underserved regions*

2

IDN TLDs - LGR Procedure Implementation - Maximal Starting Repertoire Version 2

- *Clarify likely impacts of changes to the underlying Unicode standards*

3

GNSO Policy & Implementation Initial Recommendations Report

- *Resolution of new issues must involve all impacted stakeholders*
- *Stress testing to understand the effect of changes*

4

ICANN Draft FY16 Operating Plan & Budget

- *Increase the number of full-time staff in the Policy Development Support Department*



Adopted ALAC Advice:

At-Large Correspondence Page

<http://atlarge.icann.org/correspondence>

ALAC Advice Under Development:

At-Large Policy Development Page

<https://community.icann.org/x/bwFO>

RALO Meetings at ICANN 53

- ⦿ **AFRALO** will hold the **AFRALO-AfrICANN** Joint meeting [Wednesday, 24 June 2015](#) between 14:00-15:30 on the theme “African views on the Proposal of the Governance of Internet unique identifiers”.
- ⦿ **APRALO** members will hold its June meeting on [Wednesday, 24th June 2015](#) between 8:00- 9:00. Topics include increasing At-Large Structure engagement and cooperation with the APAC Hub.
- ⦿ **EURALO** will hold its June monthly meeting at [Tuesday June 23rd](#) between 7:15 – 8: 15. Main topic is the preparation for its General Assembly to be held at ICANN 54.
- ⦿ **LACRALO** will hold its June monthly meeting on [Wednesday, 24 June 2015](#) between 10:30 - 11:30. Topics include IANA Steward Transition and a review of LACRALO procedures.
- ⦿ **NARALO's** monthly meeting will take place on [Monday June 22nd](#) between 13:00-14:00. Topics include outreach and engagement and a new newsletter.

CROSS-RALO meetings at ICANN 53

- ⦿ Upon the invitation of [Fadi Chehadé](#), ICANN President and CEO, all five RALO Chairs will participate in the **Community Roundtable with AC/SO/SG/RALO Chairs** on **Friday, 19** June before the start of ICANN 53.
- ⦿ [Saturday, 20 June](#) the RALOs will hold the first [RALO Chairs meeting](#), Theme: “*Civil Society Engagement within ICANN*” with Jean-Jacques Sahel, Vice-President, Europe.
- ⦿ [Saturday, 20 June 2015](#) the RALOs will also meet with the ALAC Leadership Team (ALT) in the [RALO Chairs and ALT Meeting](#) to discuss issues of strategic importance for the At-Large community.
- ⦿ [Wednesday, 24 June 2015](#) The five RALOs will hold the [Regional Secretariat meeting](#). The results of a cross-RALO survey will be discussed.

LACRALO SHOWCASE 2015 “Knowledge for Inclusion”!

Save the date! Wednesday, 24 June 2015. Time: 18:30-20:30 . Meeting Room: Golden Horn

- ⊙ Speakers include ICANN’s President and CEO [Fadi Chehadé](#), ICANN’s Board Chairman [Steve Crocker](#), GSE VP for Latin America and the Caribbean, [Rodrigo de la Parra](#), ALAC’s Chair [Alan Greenberg](#), and ALAC’s departing LACRALO member [Fatima Cambronero](#).
- ⊙ Full Program is posted at:
<http://www.atlarge.icann.org/lacalo/buenosaires53-outreach.htm>
- ⊙ ***Come and watch a performance of Argentine Tango dancing – and participate in tango lessons!***

Please join us to see LACRALO’s and the At Large’s community achievements!



Press *1 to join the queue
and ask the
Policy Development Support
Team
your questions.

Questions Received

- ⦿ Threats in cyberspace are becoming very worrying every day. What are the measures taken by ICANN not to be infiltrated by hackers?
 - <https://www.icann.org/resources/pages/dnssec-qa-2014-01-29-en>
 - <https://www.icann.org/groups/ssac>
- ⦿ When will the recommendations made by the Policy and Implementation Working Group be implemented? In other words, how long will it take for ICANN's community to implement the GNSO Guidance Process (GGP) and its followers?
 - The next step is consideration of the recommendations by the GNSO Council in BA: <http://gns0.icann.org/en/drafts/policy-implementation-recommendations-01jun15-en.pdf>
 - After GNSO Council consideration, there will be a public comment period prior to Board consideration (as the recommendations involve changes to the ICANN Bylaws), followed by ICANN Board consideration. Once adopted, the updating of the Bylaws and GNSO Operating Procedures should not take very long, but all in all, it could still take up to 6 months.
- ⦿ Why did Mr Chehadé decide to resign? Is this resignation justified?
- ⦿ With the recent announcement about Fadi Chehadé leaving ICANN next March how does ICANN see the general impact on this process as a repercussion of this announcement? And what is the plan to mitigate any relevant impact on this process?
 - <https://www.icann.org/news/announcement-2015-05-21-en>

How to Stay Updated



Contact us at: policy-staff@icann.org

Follow us on Twitter:

@ICANN

@ICANN_GNSO

@ccNSO

@ICANNAtLarge

⦿ **Subscribe to the monthly Policy Update:**

<https://www.icann.org/resources/pages/signup-2012-02-25-en> available in Arabic, Chinese, English, French, Russian and Spanish

⦿ **GNSO Working Group Newcomer Open House Sessions:**

These community-led sessions are for new GNSO Working Group participants to come together and discuss any questions they may have about GNSO Working Groups, procedures and/or processes in an informal setting.

Next session: Thursday, 25 September 2015, 12:00 UTC

Please contact nathalie.peregrine@icann.org for more details

Policy Development Support Staff

27 FTE

Subject Matter Experts and
Secretariat Support Services

5 Time Zones across
9 Countries



14 Languages

- Afrikaans
- Chinese
- Dutch
- English
- Finnish
- French
- German
- Greek
- Italian
- Japanese
- Polish
- Spanish
- Swedish
- Turkish

ICANN Policy Development Support Team

David A. Olive

Vice President, Policy Development Support
(Turkey)

Terri Agnew

Secretariat Services Coordinator, At-Large/GNSO
(USA)

Bart Boswinkel

Senior Director, ccNSO Policy Development
Support (Netherlands)

Steve Chan

Senior Policy Manager, GNSO (USA)

Julia Charvolen

GAC Services Coordinator (France)

Glen de Saint Gery

Secretariat Services and Operations Manager,
GNSO (France)

Gisella Gruber

Secretariat Operations Coordinator, At-Large/
GNSO (UK)

Julie Hedlund

Policy Director and SSAC Support (USA)

Lars Hoffmann

Policy Specialist (Belgium)

Rob Hoggarth

Senior Director for Policy and Community
Engagement (USA)

Susie Johnson

Executive Assistant (USA)

Marika Konings

Senior Policy Director, GNSO (Belgium)

Ariel Liang

At-Large Policy Coordinator (USA)

Kristina Nordstrom

Secretariat Operations Coordinator, ccNSO
(Sweden)

Olof Nordling

Senior Director, GAC Relations (Belgium)

Nathalie Peregrine

Secretariat Operations Coordinator, At-Large/
GNSO (France)

Karine Perset

GAC Relations Adviser (USA)

Carlos Reyes

Senior Policy Analyst (USA)

Barbara Roseman

Policy Director and Technical Analyst (USA)

Benedetta Rossi

Community Engagement Support Coordinator
(France)

Ozan Sahin

Community Engagement Support Coordinator
(Turkey)

Gabriella Schitteck

Policy Specialist and ccNSO Support Manager,
ccNSO (Poland)

Kathy Schnitt

Secretariat Operations Coordinator, SSAC/RSSAC
(USA)

Steve Sheng

Director, RSSAC and SSAC Advisories Support
(USA)

Heidi Ullrich

Senior Director, At-Large (USA)

Silvia Vivanco

Manager, At-Large Regional Affairs (USA)

Mary Wong

Senior Policy Director (USA)

Thank you!

Pre-ICANN 53 Policy Update Webinar Wiki Space:

<https://community.icann.org/x/SZc0Aw>

For this webinar's recordings, transcripts, slides and more information in preparation for ICANN 53.