GNSO Council chat transcript 10 October 2013

Marika Konings:Welcome to GNSO Council Meeting of 10 October 2013
Glen de Saint Gery:Welcome Jonathan & Berry!
Glen de Saint Gery:The audio-cast line is connected
Volker Greimann:good evening/morning/midnight everyone
Jonathan Robinson:Hello everyone.
wseltzer:Hello
Lanre Ajayi:Hi
Brian Winterfeldt:Hello all.
Magaly Pazello:Hello
Maria Farrell:hi everyone
Joy:hi all
Osvaldo Novoa:Hello, I'm waiting for the telephone connection
Joy:@osvaldo - likewise
Joy:I can hear the audio
Joy:thanks Jonathan
Joy:I lost audio
wseltzer:we've got you, Joy
Joy:thanks I got that Jonathan
Maria Farrell:thanks for that info re ccwg, alan.
Alan Greenberg:For the record, I was a member of the group that has proposed this motion, although due to other commitments I did not participate actively.
Mary Wong:Yes, thanks, Alan - which is why the group circulated the draft on its list prior to it being proposed to Council.
Joy:Yes
Mary Wong:All, please note that ICANN Legal has requested that instead of using the acronym CCWG we start to use something like CWG, the reason being to avoid confusion with the ccNSO. So look for the new, shorter acronym in communications and documents about this topic going forward.
Wolf Knoben:John, you got the job already!
Mary Wong:Oops, I should have said "suggested" rather than "requested", sorry.
zahid jamil:happy to second
John Berard:Sorry for the bad math; 8 minus 7 isn't 1, it's 11. I was a liberal arts major, afterall
Jeff Neuman:Is it hard to hear Jonathan....or is it me?
Jonathan Robinson:Is my audio poor?
Julie Hedlund:@Ching and @ Yoav: Staff would like to note that the Charter drafting team does not have the authority to expand the scope of the PDP beyond the issues listed in the Final Issue Report.
Marika Konings:Should the Council want to broaden the scope, it would probably require a new or additional vote on the issues to be included within this topic
Marika Konings:This is what the motion said: 'The GNSO initiates a PDP on the issues defined in the Final Issue Report (see http://gnso.icann.org/en/issues/gtlds/transliteration-contact-final-21mar13-en.pdf) on the translation and transliteration of contact information'

Marika Konings:so there may be some flexibility, if the issues that Yoav/Ching would like to see added are covered in the Issue Report

Alan Greenberg:Thanks Marika. That tallies with what I understood, but had not realized the DT was explicitly limited.

Julie Hedlund:There are only two issues called out in the Final Issue Report for the PDP: 1. Whether it is desirable to translate contact information to a single common language or transliterate contact information to a single common script. 2. Who should decide who should bear the burden translating contact information to a single common language or transliterating contact information to a single common script.

Alan Greenberg:Also, decisions seem to have different rules (ie som say TM is relevant, so say TMs must not be considered).

Brian Winterfeldt:Would it help if we drew up a propossal for an appeals mechanism?

zahid jamil:thanks Christine that was very helpful

zahid jamil:seems to me we need to go ahead with a group which can 'consult' with staff to see where we can help in improvements

Mary Wong:@Zahid, that's one of the suggestion for PDP improvements, I believe.

zahid jamil:@Mary, thanks. and in this context this could be something we could do first on a fast track basis

zahid jamil:yes, we should

zahid jamil:small group to come back with options

zahid jamil:that's why consulting with staff would be vital

zahid jamil:agree and this may help with avoiding delay

Joy:thanks Thomas for that overview

wseltzer:Not faster.

wseltzer:Drafting team.

Marika Konings:A DT adds approx. 100 days to the timeline according to the data from recent PDPs.

wseltzer:I'm not prepared to approve this as a charter.

Mary Wong:@Alan, that was why staff wanted to get the paper to you all last month - for consultation with respective SG/C/AC/SO prior to today's call.

Alan Greenberg:Mary, that may have been the intent, but in the bustle of other activities, that certainly has not happened in the case of ALAC. In fact, to my knowledge, there has been NO visibility.

Mary Wong:Thanks, Alan - yes it's been a busy time. Hopefully each group will have had or will have a chance to consider the paper soon - since it was not an Issue Report and the PDP is already launched by the Board, this was not something we opened a public comment forum for.

Alan Greenberg:I had originally suggested that the comment period on the prelim issue report be delayed until after the RAA was finalized...

Marika Konings:Would a possible compromise be to circulate the charter to all the groups and request input / proposed amendments in time for the next meeting to see if any issues can be resolved that way. If that doesn't work, then a DT can be formed following the next meeting?

wseltzer:Crisply: can we offer amendments to the draft charter before voting it up or down?

Mary Wong:@Volker, I believe ICANN has already committed to developing an accreditation program; so this PDP is explicitly intended to help

inform that development, i.e. an opportunity for the GNSO to contribute to that effort.

Volker Greimann:exactly

Volker Greimann:I feel that this program is a GNSO matter as a policy
matter

Volker Greimann:so registrars and ICANN staff after much deliberation agreed to set this aside for
the GNSO

Ching Chiao (DotAsia):@Wolf I will send some notes via email on IDN
related subjects

Wolf Knoben:@Ching: I appreciate this

Thomas Rickert:Thanks, Jonathan

Ching Chiao (DotAsia):thanks Jonathan

Magaly Pazello:Thank you, Jonathan

Lanre Ajayi:Thanks

Jonathan Robinson:Bye all and thank-you

Brian Winterfeldt:Thank you Jonathan!

 Brian Winterfeldt:See you all in BA!