

**GNSO  
Rights Protection Mechanisms (RPM) working group teleconference  
10 July 2007**

**Note:** The following is the output of transcribing from an audio recording of the RPM wg teleconference on 10 July 2007. Although the transcription is largely accurate, in some cases it is incomplete or inaccurate due to inaudible passages or transcription errors. It is posted as an aid to understanding the proceedings at the meeting, but should not be treated as an authoritative record. The audio is also available at:

<http://audio.icann.org/gnso/rpm-ad-hoc-20070710.mp3>

<http://gnso.icann.org/calendar/#jul>

**Attendees:**

J.Scott Evans - IPC  
Jeff Neuman - gTLD registries  
Kelly Smith - IPC  
Margie Milam - Registrar/IPC  
Kristina Rosette - IPC - GNSO Council  
Eric Brown - Director of Product Management Neustar  
Greg Shatan - IPC

**Subscribed to the list - absent**

Tim Ruiz - Registrar - apologies  
Michael Palage - IPC  
Mike Rodenbaugh - CBUC

**ICANN Staff**

Liz Williams - Senior Policy Counselor  
Glen de Saint G ry - GNSO Secretariat

Coordinator: Excuse me. This is the Conference Coordinator. At this time the conference is being recorded. And I'll turn it over to your speaker, Ma'am you may begin.

Liz Williams: Thank you. Shall we just do a quick roll call? It's Liz Williams.

Glen de Saint Gery: Do you want me to do a roll call?

Liz Williams: Yes, please Glen.

Glen De Saint Gery: J. Scott Evans?

J. Scott Evans: Here.

Glen De Saint Gery: Jeff Neuman?

Jeff Neuman: Here.

Glen De Saint Gery: Kelly Smith?

Kelly Smith: Here.

Glen De Saint Gery: Margie Milam?

Margie Milam: Here.

Glen De Saint Gery: Kristina Rosette?

Kristina Rosette: Here

Glen De Saint Gery: Eric Brown?

Eric Brown: I'm here.

Glen De Saint Gery: And that's all that we have Liz.

Liz Williams: Okay, great. Thanks everybody. We've got an hour for the call and there's a couple of things that I wanted to get through. First of all, the most important job – is somebody who will offer to coordinate the group.

Kristina here -- like all of us -- and I are pretty swamped and we need somebody to run up from the groups' point of view. I'm suggesting getting more on what to do and given that the account to test given that it's not on the call.

But I'm only half joking. Does anyone want to just open coordinate the whole effort between now and October 15?

Okay, looks like it's my – it's (Mike Rodenbaugh). Kristina, you don't want to do it and I'll get in touch with (Mike) after the call just to let him know because he's been very keen on us we're having this happen. So I'll ask him whether he's available and I'll come back to the rest of the group to see whether he has accepted – doing this.

Kristina Rosette: Perfect. Thank you.

Liz Williams: Is that all right with everyone?

Kristina Rosette: Fine with me.

Liz Williams: Okay. The next thing is – I thought that we should start from the – Kristina, you're going to send around the draft template that I have sent to you. Did you get it transfer because I haven't seen it?

Kristina Rosette: No I didn't. I apologize it didn't (unintelligible).

Liz Williams: Okay that's fine. In that case I'll just back up a bit and give people an idea on what's going on.

Part of the purpose of this work is to design a set of materials that could be used by potential applicants for new TLD, to facilitate and to help them through the application process if they chose to run any kind of right protection mechanism through the new TLD's process.

And one of the most important pieces to that is to have that information collided in - not only an objective way but in a very similar way so that potential applicants can readily see across the series of choices -- cost, style, outcome applicability, jurisdiction to see resolution in a very, very standardize format.

I had technical writer series though prepared for me a template that their group could use which is based on the reporting template that we have used in – abut this report on the February 6 other work.

Kristina - I send it to Kristina, this is a quick sanity check, that what I'll do is on the basis of – it think so straightforward. I'm going to distribute it to each of you to use because I think each person on this group is actually going to be responsible for writing up some of the work unless everyone disagrees violently with that.

So number one is the work will be standardized into a standardized way of describing it, driven pretty much by titles and charts and not so much by narrative. So that's the first step for today.

Second step is – when we set up the works for this particular pace, there wasn't to my mind the sincerity of limitation on the number of models that discreet might cover and prepare as it reference implementation materials.

The work is not critical part in terms of the project plan for the new TLD's work. But Craig Schwartz will need the completed work for inclusion in the reference RFP for applicants to see rather than later and at least before we publish the formal RFP for applicants.

So what that means is that if we produce things and then send it on a format that makes it very much easier for Craig and his implementation thing to deal with.

Next step is – I've in my initial formulation of this thought that four or five different models were around about the right number of things that we should be focusing on.

But I'm not an IP lawyer and I really want to hear some discussion from the groups about the modules that they don't want to chose, Sunrise and IP Claim, then what service.

To determine what we think is the most useful models to be using in a global TLD's process so that we could prioritize the work. Once we've done that, we'll then give it up between the groups to say who wants to be responsible for what, not dissimilar to the way in which divided up the work in the initial rights protection, of in – in the initial pro working group.

I'll just stop there for a sec for J. Scott and Eric's benefits. Is there anything you guys needed to just catch up on quickly before we dive stride into that particular model?

J. Scott Evans: Not for me. Eric got.

Eric Brown: No I'll think of it.

Liz Williams: So thanks. Okay – so then I wonder if we could just kick off with – maybe Kristina, you could do this. If you could just give a quick overview of the top four models that you think need to be covered.

And then if anyone else has any additional thing we can talk about those. Maybe you could just give us a bit of an overview of what where you want (unintelligible) us to be.

Kristina Rosette: My – as official man I think we've got to include Sunrise an IP Claim. I am not frankly comfortable in indicating what the other tourism models are.

I do think that there were some very interesting and creative ideas put forth and included in somebody in excess giving rights to the pro working group report. Nut I do think it's probably for this group to frankly, since we're the one's who were going to be right in the dot to decide what - where actually want to go in.

Just to recap with some of those are, one will be a centralized kind of Sunrise service provider although frankly that might be beyond the scope of the registry specific model.

There's a name string of the case sent, there's the defensive removal, name watch notification and a couple of other models. So, I think what I would actually prefer to do is to see if anyone else has a particular preference. To see if we can at least get some time working consensus.

Liz Williams: Thanks Kristina. Anyone else who want to comment on IP Claim and Sunrise? In addition (Kelly) or any of the other guys, what do you prefer?

Woman: I choose to focus on Sunrise and IP Claims and I had – was there a problem with defensive removal? Why would it feel comfortable if you make that a model? And find some suggestions that makes sense across the board?

Liz Williams: Anyone else?

Eric Brown: Yeah. I mean I agree. This is Eric, we should stick to the ones that have been implemented just because it's too difficult at this point to continue about all the operation or another kinds of ramifications of models that haven't even but put into place.

And to hear – you're basically implying when you send this models out that there are approved model. You know if you don't want to, you know, when I missed something important.

Liz Williams: Okay. Anyone else? So, looks like we've got IP Claim and Sunrise. Any others that anyone wants to include?

Woman: Margie, when you – is (Margie) on the phone?

Liz Williams: Yes she is.

Woman: Okay. Margie when you proposed...

Margie Milam: I'm on the phone. Sorry.

Woman: Okay.

Margie Milam: It took me a while to un-mute.

Woman: When you propose kind of the approved to module – recommendation in the pro working group. Did you have in mind anything other than IP Claim and Sunrise?

Margie Milam: No, no. I just figured if things got implemented, you know going forward that's – those could be considered and I wanted to not move them out but that's all I had in mind.

Liz Williams: Okay. What I suggest with you given that the group is very busy, I know what one other thing. What I would like to do Kristina and everyone if you're happy with that is to see if we can get a volunteer – two volunteers each to work on IP Claim and on Sunrise.

And then, if anyone got any other models that they want to cover then we need to bring those up now because we need to allocate the work straight away.

And then I'll go ahead and send around the templates first to start nothing through. Of course much of this work is being done in terms of



the description of the work in the summaries that were done in the registry summaries which use a whole lot of different materials.

So if not started from scratch and based on materials in the pro working group final report – already available on line, that just this need to be turned into materials that are palatable and readable and in simplified but not simple language for potential applicant who might come – who may well come – who would definitely come from jurisdiction outside United States and English speaking Europe.

So, is there anyone who wants pick up the Sunrise One Festival? I – we just need two people.

J. Scott Evans: I'll do it. This is J. Scott.

Liz Williams: Okay.

J. Scott Evans: I will do by myself but I'll help.

Liz Williams: Okay. Anyone want to help J. Scott?

Kelly Smith: I will. This is Kelly.

Liz Williams: Thanks Kelly. Okay, so J. Scott and Kelly on Sunrise. Who wants to do IP Claim?

Kristina Rosette: I'm going to volunteer Jeff Neuman.

Jeff Neuman: I knew you would.

Kristina Rosette: Oh come on. I mean, you know, it's so obvious.

Jeff Neuman: Yup, and I will – I'll grab Eric on that one and anyone else. I'd rather also like to work with someone who is not – who is in – you start that (unintelligible).

Kristina Rosette: I will. I will. Jeff, I'll help you with that.

Jeff Neuman: Okay. Was that Kristina or someone else?

Liz Williams: Is that Margie or Kristina?

Kristina Rosette: It's Kristina. It's Kristina.

Liz Williams: Kristina thanks. Okay now, what other – we had a whole lot of other things. Margie, did you want to just think a bit more about what other things we could take on or not?

If these are two models that are going to be the most useful for potential applicants to get the results that they want, then...

Jeff Neuman: You know, I think you're going to have – if defensive removal is the mechanism whereby if I get a defensive registration it's not inactive it's just removed from the pool.

Kristina Rosette: Yes.

Jeff Neuman: You know that's been used before, didn't Dot Name use it? And I realized it was used for special circumstances. But I think we should cover things that are been out - that have been out there.

Kristina Rosette: Well and Dot Name also used to name registering notification?

Jeff Neuman: I mean their needs to be – I - we need to try to at least cover those that have already been out there. And we could put some sort of disclaimer to everything that this is not necessarily an endorsement of any particular type.

It's just a resource material to do offer an explanation of the type.

Liz Williams: Anyone to comment on that one?

Kristina Rosette: I mean I agree with that. I mean simply because I think that, you know, I think there are some valuable components. I mean, it's much the same way that I think you know, no offense intended Jeff but I mean to the same extent that I think there maybe some who have kind of suggestions for tweaking the IP Claims.

It maybe for example that there are either those models that have already been used like things that Dot Name did that if tweaked would might have a broader applicability and utility.

Jeff Neuman: You know, I just think it - you run the risk of - if you don't set it out that it gets faster out on some way. And that's certainly not something that we want to see.

We want to pick out the positives at the same and highlight those. There's always going to be some entrepreneurial creativity with any of these I believe.

That's the reason we don't have a standardized format for price protection mechanisms that it, you know, slot right into and you have to do A, B or C. But I just think it's going to be covered.

Margie Milam: So do you want me – this is Margie -- do you want me to kind of look through the summaries and see what other unique aspects that are already been picked up by other launches.

Jeff Neuman: Yeah. That'd be great if we - even if we had a category that just set "others" or "miscellaneous" or something like that.

Margie Milam: Okay I can do that.

Liz Williams: Thanks Margie.

J. Scott Evans: Oh you think so Liz, I - I mean...

Liz Williams: Yeah I do.

J. Scott Evans: ...I really think we have to...

Liz Williams: Yeah, I do J. Scott.

((Crosstalk))

J. Scott Evans: They do a Google Search.

Liz Williams: Yeah.

J. Scott Evans: And they read all these mistaken information and they may pick a model that just unworkable for them.

Liz Williams: Yeah. We're not responsible for that. However, I understand your intention. I think it's the right intention.

J. Scott Evans: It's just to give them a...

Liz Williams: Yeah.

J. Scott Evans: A source of information that they could go to and think that they're getting a pretty good analysis of what is available.

Liz Williams: What's the difference between defensive removal and Dot Moby's premium name service? So how does those two things compare or they're not comparable?

Jeff Neuman: I don't think they're comparable. I think Moby's premium name service is - we have these names reserved to the registry level. If you want to use them, submit to us a proposal and we'll evaluate it and if you like it we'll give it to you.

Liz Williams: Right. Okay.

(Greg Shutton): Actually I think they're just releasing a limited number - this is (Greg Shutton) joining the call.

Liz Williams: Hi (Greg)!

(Greg Shutton): They're releasing just a limited number of those names at a time. So?

J. Scott Evans: Are they-are they still doing an auction?

(Greg Shutton): They – right. They have- they proposed two basic processes. One is an auction and they've done that. And the other is an RFP process.

J. Scott Evans: And these are for the names, if I understood it when I talked to you know, (Edward's) drive, hotel Dot Moby.

(Greg Shutton): Yeah.

Jeff Neuman: Right same thing with Dot Asia also before they do a Sunrise they're doing a - give us a proposal on any one of our reserved names and we'll...

Man: And they're reserving a lot of what we would call the best generic terms.

Jeff Neuman: Right.

(Greg Shutton): And some of which are arguably not generic and there was a challenge process.

J. Scott Evans: Well, yeah and I - that was my suggestion to (Neil) that you've got to give someone do - the ability to come in and say, "Wait, wait, wait I know that's generic of the hotels but it's not generic, you know, of something else." And you just have to have some sort of process but I understand that. But the attitude, that's different to this and the reserved name.

Liz Williams: And both of those examples does - do that fit into Margie's miscellaneous bucket for the moment?

J. Scott Evans: I think so.

Jeff Neuman: You know I thought that was kind of outside. Because it's not really for the protection of rights.

(Greg Shutton): I guess there is the challenge - the challenge process was to protect the rights.

Man: That's right.

(Greg Shutton): Though all the premium names process itself was not about rights protection. The challenge process to the premium names was a rights protection process.

Kristina Rosette: Was the challenge process substantially different from the Dot Moby Sunrise challenge process in terms of what the complainant or, you know, the proponent (unintelligible).

J. Scott Evans: That needs to be looked at. I mean if that question needs to be answered and it may get included or may not base on that answer.

(Greg Shutton): I did a premium name challenge indeed I did it for a Hotel Dot Moby but I didn't do a Sunrise, I can't compare.

Kristina Rosette: Right.

(Greg Shutton): There was someone.

Kristina Rosette: (Greg), why don't you and I talk off line because I did a Dot Moby Sunrise and if it's the same maybe what we need to do is just when we're talking about challenges to rights protection mechanism and maybe that's what we want to have as a separate category.

Man: Wait, so let me – let me ask you did this challenge did you prevail?

(Greg Shutton): No.

Man: No. Okay.

J. Scott Evans: And...

(Greg Shutton): Sorry.

J. Scott Evans: You should. Tell the people in Seattle I said to get a grip.

(Greg Shutton): Or come back with the RFP.

J. Scott Evans: So, okay.

Liz Williams: Does...

J. Scott Evans: But what if you need help?

Kristina Rosette: Liz?

Liz Williams: Yes.



Kristina Rosette: I think it's probably and correct me anyone on the call but I think it's probably a safe bet that (Mike) is going to want to include the rapid suspension procedure that he suggested in the pro-working group?

Liz Williams: Okay. Well, he's going to be on that call to make that suggestion.

Kristina Rosette: Okay.

J. Scott Evans: So why don't we do this? Why don't we suggest it?

Jeff Neuman: Hold on let's go back a step in the pro-working group there was no - I mean there was a suggestion but there was no real support for it except for a couple of people. So I'm not sure we can put something together but again it didn't really have support.

Liz Williams: Beyond (Mike) I've forgotten that. I'm pretty sure that's right. I wonder what I'll do is I'm going to speak (Mike) separately anyway. There is nothing to stop him producing the material whether I think and take notice of it or not. It's probably moot.

And if he wishes to put in the works then I don't have any objection unless someone does?

Kristina Rosette: Well, and then maybe what we should do is make clear that, you know, here are, you know, the following is based on, you know, types of processes that have already been implemented.

Liz Williams: Yeah.

Kristina Rosette: And then we could have a separate category for processes, you know, not previously implemented. So that it's abundantly clear that A, it's never been honest work and B, we're not endorsing it.

Liz Williams: Yeah exactly. How does that suit you Jeff?

Jeff Neuman: It's okay if we take the stuff that hasn't been implemented and kind of find - make sure that people who have operational background, Eric is one of them.

Liz Williams: Yup.

Jeff Neuman: And others have the chance to comment on it because I'd hate to have a proposal that's completely not feasible to implement.

Kristina Rosette: Oh absolutely.

Liz Williams: Well, we're not going to that Jeff. I mean that's just madness. That's just waste everybody's time.

Man: Right.

Jeff Neuman: Right.

Liz Williams: Yeah.

(Jeff Neuman): So, let's...

J. Scott Evans: I still think Margie needs help.

Margie Milam: Yeah. And not...

(Greg Shutton): I'm (Greg Shutton) I'm happy to volunteer.

Margie Milam: Oh thank you.

(Greg Shutton): Sounds good.

Margie Milam: Yeah I can use some help.

J. Scott Evans: I mean I just think...

Margie Milam: Sorry. I've been...

J. Scott Evans: ...everybody needs to have two people. So if something happens to the one person.

Jeff Neuman: Hey can we - I'm sorry to take a step back. Can we just - for everyone on the call just go over our background just to real because I'm not - I've worked with almost all of you but I'm not (Greg). I'm sorry. So I just - it would be helpful for me to understand the background of everybody.

Liz Williams: You start Jeff.

Jeff Neuman: Okay. Jeff Neuman, I'm Senior Director of Law at the at NeuStar. And I'm pretty much been involved in the launch of Biz-US with the back end for travel. So I did their launch and for Dot Tel working on their launch. As well as helped others with their proposed launch processes.

Liz Williams: Okay. Eric, do you want to go next?

Eric Brown: Sure. This is Eric Brown, NeuStar as well. I'm the Director of Product Management for our registry business. I've been with NeuStar since prior to the Biz launch so I've been involved in all of our various launches.

Prior to that, I was a Director of Operations at the Registrar of Bulk Register during their start-up days.

Liz Williams: (Greg Shutton)?

(Greg Shutton): Hi! It's (Greg Shutton). I'm a partner with the Reed Smith Law firm in New York in the advertising technology and media group. I'm also on the Internet Committee at the International Trademark Association. And I just got through serving on the Reserved Names Working Group for ICANN.

Liz Williams: I knew Jeff made it through there. J. Scott, can you do your bit?

J. Scott Evans: Sure. I'm J. Scott Evans I am an attorney in private practice Charlotte, North Carolina. I am a member of the INTA Board of Directors. I'm also in the Executive Committee. I served for eight years on the INTA Internet Committee. I help draft Uniform Dispute resolution policy from the very inception to the final rules.

And the final rules procedure all rules. I have worked with Jeff and many other registries, implementation of protection mechanisms including Dot Names, Dot Biz, Dot Info, so I've been around for a long time.

Liz Williams: Margie?

Margie Milam: Hi! I'm Margie Melim, General Counsel of Mark's Monitor we're the main name registration company that focuses mostly on corporate domains. And so we actually implement the Sunrise periods that have been launched in the last five to six years.

Liz Williams: Who did I miss?

Jeff Neuman: (Christine)?

Liz Williams: Kristina, sorry Kristina.

Kristina Rosette: Hi.

Liz Williams: You were hiding in the background there, Kristina.

Kristina Rosette: You know this is for me is all...

Jeff Neuman: It's all right.

Kristina Rosette: ...about lurking and as to my last go round I'm a big fan of lurking. I am an attorney in private practice specializing in trademark and domain name related matters. I am the IPC North America rep on the GNSO counsel. I am a member of the INTA Internet Committee. And I was the chair of the pro-working group.

Liz Williams: Thanks everyone. So Jeff are you happy with that now to know?

Jeff Neuman: Yup.

Kelly Smith: (Kelly Smith).

Liz Williams: Oh Kelly, sorry.

Kelly Smith: That's okay.

Liz Williams: Sorry.

Kelly Smith: Kelly Smith, Senior Attorney to Intel and to the Morrison Brand Group and also a part of my duty is to manage our domain name portfolio and I'm also on the INC internet committee.

Eric Brown: I just feel bad for Eric because he's the only non-attorney other than Liz.

Liz Williams: You shouldn't feel bad for us. Thank you very much Mr. Neuman.

((Crosstalk))

Jeff Neuman: What's that?

Kristina Rosette: I said we – I would love to have more volunteers from your constituents.

Jeff Neuman: Okay.

Liz Williams: Okay. Let's move along guys. I've got the following things. Margie and (Greg Shutton) are going to do a miscellaneous bucket. We've got J.

Scott Evans and Kelly Smith doing Sunrise. Kristina and Jeff Neuman and Eric are doing IP Claim.

I will send around two of the body potentials that we could start template - that we can start filling in. And just on - in terms of work message. I think that this probably wouldn't be the last set of models that we do and I'll take too much specifically about the defensive removal. No, not of defensive removal things that he wanted, it hadn't been tried before.

And I'll also get (Mike) to volunteer to coordinate. I'm pretty swamp as many of you will understand with so brilliant new TLD's work and this is an implementation exercise pretty much.

The thing that I just wanted to cover quickly is - does anyone – what did everyone's estimation of time it will take and whether you prefer to work by e-mail or – because I have already set up this footnote of the conference calls.

If they're not necessary we won't do them, but I'm more than happy to have them if you want to bring back materials for the first couple of times to say this is where we're at, this is how we're doing.

Eric Brown: Yeah, I think it's in. For me personally, it's impossible to say how long it's going to take to IP or template and talk about it with Kelly.

Liz Williams: Yup.

Eric Brown: And I think what I can come back with by e-mail to the group is that for Kelly and I look at the template and have the chance to speak. Kelly, tell me if you disagree.

Kelly Smith: No, it makes sense.

Eric Brown: We can give you some sort of estimated time of what we think based on our work schedules at that time...

Liz Williams: Uh hmm.

Eric Brown: ...and our goal.

Liz Williams: Okay. Is everyone else in the same boat, everyone - anyone different?

Jeff Neuman: Yes, so the template that you print are going to basically – our job to do is to go from beginning to end of how your registry should implement these processes including all the rules, including timeline in our suggested time frames and in all the processes in there.

Liz Williams: Yup.

Jeff Neuman: Is that - that's our goal?

Liz Williams: Yeah. Because what we're trying to do is give a potential applicant who may choose to insert a right protection mechanism in their applications for their launch.



That is somehow different from the existing UDRP like services but we give them as much information as possible to make lots and lots of comparisons the way they are.

Jeff Neuman: So we should...

Liz Williams: It's sort of really important that we get through example Eric has put on the technical on - for example on the technical stuff. What it look for – what did it looks like the registry to do? How much did it cost? What was the percentage of the budget that was allocated to software, on customer service, on problems with dealing with system overload, on special hardware purchases?

All of those things that would have a bearing on the choice of an applicant might make. And that was not an exclusive list, that was just a sort of a elicit list to say this is what we be heading for.

Jeff Neuman: Right. So we're staying away from the "why", we're just sticking to the "how."

Liz Williams: Yup.

Jeff Neuman: Okay.

Liz Williams: Because there is no agreement on "why."

Jeff Neuman: Right.

Liz Williams: Yeah?

Jeff Neuman: So I think the guys working on Sunrise, J. and I can't remember who else.

Eric Brown: Kelly.

Liz Williams: Kelly.

Jeff Neuman: Kelly. When you guys have the rules, you might just want to throw it all the way and, you know, because we've implemented Sunrise too and we can help.

Eric Brown: Yeah, I think what we need to do – we need to look for and find out what we can answer on our own and then what we need help on.

Jeff Neuman: Right.

Eric Brown: And then reach out to people that can help us.

J. Scott Evans: Yeah, I'd like to think as you can easily do or you know, whether, you know, whether it's word marks, design marks, you know, characters – all that kind of stuff.

Eric Brown: Right. These things that cost and all those where you can't just go with what NeuStar has done. We've got to have to offer and contact the couple of other folks just to ask them, I think those questions too and then sort of come up with the, you know, general live.

Jeff Neuman: Right.

Liz Williams: Arrange.

Eric Brown: Yeah, arrange like we, you know, that keep counting we're from 25% to 35% of your budget or whatever. I'm just throwing numbers out.

Jeff Neuman: Yeah.

Liz Williams: I had an additional question for the group. Some of the analysis that we've done with those respective Dot EU and Dot DE, is there any special information that might need to be included to think about country code treatment, sorry – to use the experience of the country code launches like DEU, EU or DK to bring that into the mix of information.

Eric Brown: I think for the Sunrise period, we definitely need to talk to people at EU, I don't know what Kelly thinks, but that's been one of the largest Sunrise's that's been implemented. And they had a very different procedure.

Kelly Smith: Yes, as we've told a question, are we allowed in this process to lift options because obviously with the Sunrise differently, even in terms of what right database your Sunrise application on?

Eric Brown: We may need to do that Kelly. We may need to break it out into Sunrise A, Sunrise B, do you know what I'm saying?

Kelly Smith: Right (unintelligible) EU.

Eric Brown: And they get a bit of features of those and then answer those questions down because Dot EU is extremely restrictive with regards to how much proof they require.

Kelly Smith: Right. And the flipside, they were very inclusive about what right you could base your application...

Eric Brown: That's correct.

Liz Williams: Just in that small exchange guys, I think it's going to be worthwhile.

Eric Brown: Yeah, I think it has to be.

Liz Williams: (Unintelligible).

Eric Brown: Dot EU has to be the others, I'm not so sure, but Dot EU was so massively used. It was so much closer to a gTLD.

Liz Williams: Yup.

(Greg Shutton): This is (Greg Shutton), unfortunately I have to jump off for (unintelligible)...

Liz Williams: Yup. We're finally done I think (Greg) because this is the introductory work of their call – work for the group. So, I thank you for coming and we'll be in touch by e-mail.

(Greg Shutton): Sure, apologies for having to jump.

Liz Williams: Thanks.

J. Scott Evans: Liz, when do you hope to have the template out?

Liz Williams: Oh it's ready. It's ready to go. I'll have it out in ten minutes if everyone, you know...

J. Scott Evans: Okay.

Liz Williams: ...as soon as everyone finished on the call I will get it off to everybody.

J. Scott Evans: Okay.

Liz Williams: And then I'll just write up some quick notes about...

J. Scott Evans: Okay.

Liz Williams: ...what I think we're going to do. Is that all right with everyone?

Jeff Neuman: Yeah. Hey J. I would also talk to Dot Tell guys and the Asia guys because they are implementing lessons learned from the EU launch.

J. Scott Evans: Okay.

Jeff Neuman: And I know that...

J. Scott Evans: (Edmund) is that, yeah.

Jeff Neuman: Dot Tell, I can help you put – I can help put you in touch with the person.

J. Scott Evans: Okay.

Jeff Neuman: But they are using a valid meter as well.

J. Scott Evans: Okay. Okay, Kelly if – I don't know your e-mail account.

Kelly Smith: Oh it's kelly.w.smith@intel.com

J. Scott Evans: What I will do is when we get this thing – this template, I'll look it over and then proof by either end of today my time tomorrow or first thing my time tomorrow. I'll suggest in time we can get either by phone.

Kelly Smith: Okay.

Liz Williams: Anything else that anyone wishes to raise?.

No?

J. Scott Evans: No.

Liz Williams: Okay, all right. In that case if everyone's happy, I will now get off the phone and try to reach (Mike Ronberg) and speak to him about coordination. I'll send around some notes and I'll send around for templates. I'll just look at the time now for me it's after five my time in the afternoon. So I might be bit delay on getting it back. I've got a few things to do...

J. Scott Evans: Okay.

Liz Williams: ...before the end of the day.

Kristina Rosette: Liz, is this the – have you made any changes to what you sent me?

Liz Williams: Nope.

Kristina Rosette: Okay then, I can just go ahead and post it.

Liz Williams: Oh, super.

Kristina Rosette: Yeah, I'll go ahead and post it.

Liz Williams: It's right here. I'll – it'll take me a second to just do it. I just going to make sure I don't miss there is something this afternoon.

And I just want to write up a note and send it at the same time. Actually, Kristina would you mind not?

Kristina Rosette: Okay.

Liz Williams: Because I'll put it all in a package together.

Kristina Rosette: All right, sure.

J. Scott Evans: And I would suggest that anybody who has not read the full report because I think some of the work in the annexes may assist in filling up this template.

Liz Williams: And not only that, when you guys are all ready for that, it's actually currently in the PDF form.

J. Scott Evans: Uh-huh. Got it.

Liz Williams: Because it's much easier to post. I will give it to you all in a Word form so you can cut and paste things as you wish.

J. Scott Evans: Right.

Liz Williams: You can slash and dash it up as you wish.

J. Scott Evans: Right.

Liz Williams: Okay?

J. Scott Evans: Yeah.

Liz Williams: All right, guys? I'll be back in touch by e-mail later this evening.

J. Scott Evans: All right.

Woman: Bye bye.

Liz Williams: All right, thanks everyone.

Woman: Thank you.

J. Scott Evans: Bye.

Liz Williams: I'll see you then. Bye.

Woman: Bye-bye.



END